

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	7
<b>Response Date</b>	09/04/18 19:28
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Job Title (if applicable)**

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

**Telephone No.**

**Mobile No.**

### Part B: Your Representation

Please use the space below to provide detailed comments

Please use a separate section of Part B for each representation (to follow on the next page)

I feel developers should pay towards disruptions and environmental damage caused through developments and any traffic rearrangements that are made in new roads and access to the new site

Do you wish to make a further representation?

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	8
<b>Response Date</b>	19/04/18 11:31
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

**Telephone No.**

### Part B: Your Representation

Please use the space below to provide detailed comments

Please use a separate section of Part B for each representation (to follow on the next page)

I am responding as a resident of Brighton and Hove. There is no way that I can read all the documents involved and therefore my comments are of a general nature. I fully support the basic concept of S106 charges and CILs. It is important that any development is supported by adequate ongoing infrastructure such as roads, public transport, schools, medical facilities, the provision of parks and open spaces, and the like. In particular these should have a substantial amount to be spent very local to the development as a clearly visible demonstration of the benefits of the sums contributed by the developers. It is particularly important to avoid funds being "lost" in general Council funds.

### Part C: Request to be heard by the CIL Examiner

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	9
<b>Response Date</b>	22/04/18 18:45
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

**Telephone No.**

### Part B: Your Representation

Please use the space below to provide detailed comments

Please use a separate section of Part B for each representation (to follow on the next page)

Improvements to: Green space. The Public Rights of Way Network. Public Transport. Reductions in motorised traffic pollution.

### Part C: Request to be heard by the CIL Examiner

Do you wish to request the right to be heard by the CIL Examiner?

If you wish to request this right, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

No

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	10
<b>Response Date</b>	24/04/18 10:37
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

**Telephone No.**

**Mobile No.**

### Part B: Your Representation

Please use the space below to provide detailed comments

Please use a separate section of Part B for each representation (to follow on the next page)

Anything that brings money for Brighton Council to assist voluntary groups such as the Brighton Unemployed Centre Families Project [BUPFC] is to be welcomed BUT who will be paying this Community Infrastructure Levy [CIL] ?

### Part C: Request to be heard by the CIL Examiner

Do you wish to request the right to be heard by the CIL Examiner?

If you wish to request this right, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

To whom is the CIL examiner accountable? Elected councillors should have the final say or enabled to scrutinise the CIL Examiner in open or closed sessions.

Do you wish to make a further representation?

Yes

That the draft charging schedule has been submitted to the examiner in accordance with section 212 of the Planning Act 2008.

I / We request to be notified of the above at this address in accordance with regulation 16.

**Address (required)**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

The publication of the recommendations of the examiner and the reason for those recommendations.

I / We request to be notified of the above at this address in accordance with regulation 16.

**Address (required)**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

The approval of the charging schedule by the charging authority.

I / We request to be notified of the above at this address in accordance with regulation 16.

**Address (required)**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	8
<b>Response Date</b>	19/04/18 11:31
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

**Telephone No.**

### Part B: Your Representation

Please use the space below to provide detailed comments

Please use a separate section of Part B for each representation (to follow on the next page)

I am responding as a resident of Brighton and Hove. There is no way that I can read all the documents involved and therefore my comments are of a general nature. I fully support the basic concept of S106 charges and CILs. It is important that any development is supported by adequate ongoing infrastructure such as roads, public transport, schools, medical facilities, the provision of parks and open spaces, and the like. In particular these should have a substantial amount to be spent very local to the development as a clearly visible demonstration of the benefits of the sums contributed by the developers. It is particularly important to avoid funds being "lost" in general Council funds.

### Part C: Request to be heard by the CIL Examiner

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	12
<b>Response Date</b>	24/04/18 17:31
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

Do you wish to make a further representation?

No

## Comment

<b>Event Name</b>	Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule
<b>Comment ID</b>	11
<b>Response Date</b>	24/04/18 11:51
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### 1. Your details

**Title**

**Forename**

**Surname**

**Organisation (if applicable)** SECTCo

**Address**

**Postcode**

**Email Address**

**Telephone No.**

### Part B: Your Representation

Please use the space below to provide detailed comments

Please use a separate section of Part B for each representation (to follow on the next page)

I am concerned about how decisions will be made to spend CIL on amenity improvement. I have not been able to find out how to apply for the 1% of ticket sales for the i360, and believe that it should be transparent, with an open application process, say quarterly.

### Part C: Request to be heard by the CIL Examiner

Do you wish to request the right to be heard by the CIL Examiner?