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INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the project

This report is an archaeological, historical, and historic urban character assessment of Brighton and Hove. It is part of the Sussex Extensive Urban Survey (henceforth Sussex EUS) that examines 41 towns across the ancient county.¹

The Sussex EUS forms part of a national programme of such surveys initiated by English Heritage in 1992. The national programme is already well underway, with roughly half the English counties having been completed or currently undergoing study.

As the surveys have progressed, the approach has developed. In line with recent surveys, the Sussex EUS includes more modern towns, the main significance of which stems from the 19th and 20th centuries. Another recent innovation is the introduction of the characterization concept, comparable with the map-based techniques adopted by historic landscape characterization. This approach was developed in Lancashire (2000-4), and is further refined in Sussex.

The Sussex EUS has been funded by English Heritage, and supported in kind by the commissioning authorities: East Sussex County Council, West Sussex County Council, and Brighton and Hove City Council. A wide range of stakeholders (including district and borough councils, and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty) has supported the project.

In West Sussex the Sussex EUS forms part of the Character of West Sussex Partnership Programme,² aiming to provide guidance and advice on the protection and enhancement of all aspects of character in the county. Other historic environment projects come under this umbrella:

- Historic Landscape Characterisation (HLC) of Sussex
- Intensive Urban Survey of Chichester and Fishbourne
- Local Distinctiveness Study of West Sussex

1.2 Aims and objectives

1.2.1 Aims

The aim of the Sussex EUS is to deliver a unique and flexible tool to aid the understanding, exploration and management of the historic qualities of 41 of the most significant towns in Sussex with a view to:

- archaeological and historic environment research and management.
- informing strategic and local policy.
- underpinning urban historic land and buildings management and interpretation.
- encouraging the integration of urban historic characterization into the wider process of protecting and enhancing urban character.

1.2.2 Objectives

Key objectives of the project include the:

- synthesis of previous archaeological and historical work.
- creation of a Geographic Information System (GIS) that maps and allows the analysis of archaeological events, monuments and urban plan components using information obtained from a variety of sources.
- analysis of the origins and development of each town by establishing and examining its principal plan components and existing standing structures.
- identification of county-wide Historic Character Types and attribution of the types to different areas within each town.
- preparation of a Statement of Historic Urban Character for each town, to include assessment of archaeological potential and Historic Environment Value.
- identification of gaps in the understanding of the past occupation and historical development of character of each town through the development of a Research Framework.
- advice to local authorities on the development of guidance derived from the town studies.

1.3 Outputs

The principal outputs of the project comprise:

- Historic character assessment reports. Documents (of which this is one) that, separately for each town, summarize the setting and pre-urban activity; synthesize current archaeological and historical research; describe the development from origins to the present day; assess the surviving historic character and historic environment value; and set out a framework for future research on the historic environment of the towns.
- Geographical Information System (GIS) for the historic environment of each town. The GIS underpins the analysis and mapping of the town
reports, and is available to local authorities as a unique tool to support their decision making. The EUS-generated GIS data includes historic buildings and archaeological data, and mapping of areas for which Historic Character Type, historic land use, and Historic Urban Character Areas have been defined. The GIS data will be maintained and updated by the West Sussex County Council Sites & Monuments Record (SMR) and the East Sussex County Council Historic Environment Record (HER).

- Informing historic environment management guidance specific to each local planning authority, for the 41 EUS towns and Winchelsea, produced under the new Local Development Frameworks, and subject to formal consultation procedures.
- Background papers for the Sussex EUS project. Documents that include the project design, a summary of the methodology and an overall bibliography.

1.4 The structure of this report

1.4.1 The Setting

This introductory section describes the topography, geology, communications, and pre-urban archaeology of the entire area of Brighton and Hove covered by this study.

1.4.2 History

The history of Brighton and Hove in this report can be a brief summary only. It aims to synthesize published research, and to provide a chronological overview of the development of the town as seen from documentary sources. The focus is placed on those matters – such as origins, economy, trade and institutions – that are most closely related to the urban historic environment today. Aspects of the history of the parishes – such as the manorial history – have been published elsewhere, most notably in the Victoria County History.3

1.4.3 Archaeology

The archaeology section of this report draws on published and unpublished reports of excavations, archaeological assessments, and records of finds. This section also includes analysis of historic buildings (listed and non-listed) and the topography, the latter drawing on maps of the town from 1514 onwards. Again, this section follows a chronological structure, and focuses on aspects of the material evidence of the town’s past that relate most closely to the historic environment today.

1.4.4 Statement of Historic Urban Character

Whereas sections on history and archaeology (above) explore the development of Brighton and Hove over time, this part of the report considers and defines the physical evidence of the past in today’s townscape. It does this by means of a character-based approach, operating at three different scales: areas of common Historic Character Type; larger and topographically familiar Historic Urban Character Areas; and the whole town. Assessment is made of the Historic Environment Value of each of the Historic Urban Character Areas, taking account of the archaeological potential.

1.4.5 Brighton and Hove: a combined approach

Any analysis of Brighton and Hove has to address the question of whether this is the history of one town or two towns. The recent official acquisition of the status of ‘city’ – albeit with the dual name – reflects earlier history, in that the urban (as opposed to earlier village and parish) development of Hove was dependent on the pre-existence of the adjacent town of Brighton: Hove’s revitalization in the 19th century was essentially part of the expansion of Brighton. Although Hove uniquely maintained an administrative independence, achieved borough status of its own, and saw resort development of the medieval village before it was physically joined to Brighton by unbroken suburbs, it is, thus, similar in origins to the other medieval settlements engulfed by the growth of Brighton. The dependency of Hove on Brighton is seen most clearly in the fact that Hove’s strongly middle-class development (a key element in its identify) was achieved because its vast workforce was largely housed in Brighton. This report, therefore, considers Brighton and Hove as a single town.

1.5 Principal sources

Brighton and Hove have stimulated historical and architectural interest, but little in the way of archaeology related to the town itself. The principal sources drawn on during the writing of this report are listed below. Many other sources have been used too, and full references have been given by use of endnotes.

1.5.1 History

There are several histories of Brighton and Hove, which include the Victoria County History, published in 1940,4 the works of John
and, remarkably, two largely topographically-based 'encyclopaedias' for Brighton and Hove, respectively by 
Timothy Carder and Judy Middleton. The focus of most of the thematic studies is the revival in fortune of the town from
the 18th century, and there remains no scholarly study of the medieval and early post-medieval town. While this may reflect the administrative and political insignificance of the early town (compared, for example, to nearby Lewes), this also appears to be a direct consequence of long-lasting neglect of the documentary records of Brighton’s past.

1.5.2 Archaeology

The buried evidence of Brighton’s past has fared no better than its documents, for, despite its status as a significant medieval town and the most populous town in the county in the late 16th century and much of the 17th century (and, of course, later), the pre-1914 core of the town (i.e. the EUS study area) has seen little archaeological study. The amount of late 20th and early 21st-century redevelopment within this substantial 17km sq area means that this is both remarkable and lamentable. Archaeological investigation within the EUS study area has been confined to a few minor watching briefs or evaluations (most unpublished, and many disappointing in revealing an absence of archaeological features). These comprise those at:

- Springfield Road (Roman villa) – 1962-3
- Market Street – 1978
- Stafford Road – 1985
- Seafront sewage works (Norfolk site) – 1993
- 7-9 Springfield Road – 1999
- Jubilee Street – 2001
- 6 Ship Street – 2002
- 20-6 York Place – 2004

By contrast, the area covered by post-1914 suburbs has seen some more substantial controlled excavations, mostly in advance of the expansion of the built-up area of the town and the Brighton bypass (A27). Here too there have been serious lacunae (most obviously the lack of archaeological investigation of the medieval villages engulfed by suburbs – with the notable exception of excavations by Eric Holden and the Ministry of Works at Hangleton in 1952-4), but several published excavations and numerous reports from minor archaeological assessments, watching briefs or evaluations (most unpublished) provide a significant understanding of the pre-urban (especially, prehistoric and Romano-British) archaeology of the area of the modern town (see below, section 2.4).

The East Sussex Sites & Monuments Record (HER) database has been invaluable for identifying unpublished sites, and for providing the pre-urban archaeological context.

1.5.3 Historic buildings

There are 1,216 entries on English Heritage’s statutory list of historic buildings for the unitary authority of Brighton and Hove. 106 of these fall within settlements (such as Rottingdean) that are quite distinct from Brighton and Hove themselves, and 1,097 lie within the EUS study area (see below for extent of area: section 1.6). This substantial number – which includes single listings for many entire terraces – reflects the unusual number of surviving historic buildings. There has been no systematic study of these buildings: a detailed analysis is likely to be especially revealing in the historic core of the town, where medieval and early post-medieval fabric could well have survived later re-fronting and modification.

1.5.4 Geology and topography

The contextual discussion of the solid and drift geology has principally derived from 1:50,000 British Geological Survey digital data. Ordnance Survey Historic 25" maps for Epochs 1-4 (c.1875 onwards) have proved invaluable, especially as these have been used in digital form, allowing overlaying with each other and with other data. Tithe Maps (ranging from 1829-52: East Sussex Record Office) capture Brighton, Hove and the villages later engulfed by suburbs at a large scale around the time of the arrival of the railway. Brighton itself is the subject of earlier detailed maps such as those of 1826 (Piggott), 1822 (Baxter), 1808 (Marchant), 1788 (Budgen), and 1779 (Yeakell and Gardner). Earlier still, but less accurate in its survey and depiction of detail, is the 1514 bird’s-eye view of the town. The key large-scale historic maps have been digitized and rectified to fit the National Grid to allow comparison with other maps and data. Vertical air photo coverage of 2000 provides a useful snapshot in time. All analysis and maps utilize the most recent large-scale Ordnance Survey mapping (digital MasterMap data).

1.6 Area covered by the report

The Sussex EUS assessment of Brighton and Hove covers the extent of the town c.1914, an
area of c.17 km sq. This includes the 1914 extent of the villages later engulfed by the expanding suburbs (Portslade, Hangleton, West Blatchington and Patcham), but excludes what were (and, indeed, are still) clearly distinct settlements beyond the modern extent of the contiguous suburbs (Ovingdean, Woodingdean, Rottingdean, Saltdean and Stanmer).

Fig. 1. Location of Brighton and Hove within Sussex. The unitary authority is highlighted and points locate the 41 Sussex EUS towns.
2 THE SETTING OF BRIGHTON & HOVE

Fig. 2. Brighton and Hove from Aldrington beach.

2.1 Topography (Map 2)

The built-up area of modern Brighton and Hove extends across the eastern end of the Coastal Plain (which finally runs out at Black Rock) and, to the north, the dip slope of the South Downs (which rise to 217m OD near Devil’s Dyke, 3.3km north of the built-up area).

Before its revival and expansion from the late 18th century, Brighton lay on low lying land formed where the eastern extremity of the tapering Coastal Plain was widened by a minor valley descending from the Downs (which had only the Wellesbourne stream – a winterbourne – running down the Level and the Steine: this was culverted in the Steine in 1792-3, and has ceased to flow since the building of the Patcham Waterworks in 188916). Where the valley and stream met the shore, and where there appears to have been a small inlet (Pool Valley), the ground is at c.8m OD. To the west of this, along the top of what was a low cliff line (now the Esplanade) the level is around 12-13m OD. To the north of the shoreline, most of the area of the old town is very little higher, only rising in the north-west corner to the parish church, which is located on the edge of a Downland spur (Church Hill) at c.49m OD. To the west of the historic core of Brighton, the Coastal Plain widens, containing all of pre-1800 Hove. Medieval Aldrington was also on the shoreline and Coastal Plain, but the other small medieval settlements eventually absorbed by the suburbs of Brighton and Hove were on the Downs: Hangleton at c.35-80m OD; Patcham at c.45-70m OD; Portslade at c.25-40m; Preston at c.30m OD; and West Blatchington at c.70m OD. Late 18th-century and subsequent suburbs have filled the Coastal Plain (so that there is now unbroken development in a band nowhere less than 1.5km wide westwards to the River Adur) and have expanded increasingly on to the Downs. In the Hollingbury area this has meant development as high as c.150m OD.

The principal shopping street of the town is the east-west North Street and its continuation, Western Road. Churchill Square shopping centre lies immediately to the south as do the Lanes (narrow lanes and passageways lying north of the centre of the pre-1750 town, now with a concentration of antique shops). There are significant retail concentrations outside this area, however, in North Laine, Kemp Town and Hove. The prime location for hotels (such as the well-known Grand Hotel and the Metropole) is the seafront along the southern edge of the medieval town, but they are widely distributed all along the coast.

The built-up area of Brighton and Hove forms a large part of the unitary authority of that name established in 1997. The administrative boundary includes other built-up areas (Ovingdean, Woodingdean, Rottingdean, Saltdean, Stanmer, and the University of Sussex campus at Falmer) that are distinct from the contiguous suburbs (and engulfed medieval villages) that represent just over 200 years of expansion of Brighton and Hove. The unitary authority includes numerous pre-1894 civil parishes: Portslade, Hangleton, Aldrington, West Blatchington, Hove, Preston, Brighton, Patcham, Ovingdean, and Rottingdean, with parts of Falmer, Stanmer and Pyecombe.

2.2 Geology (Map 2)

2.2.1 Solid geology

Along with the whole of Sussex, the rocks in the vicinity of Brighton and Hove are sedimentary. The town lies on and adjacent to the South...
Downs, so that the entire area is underlain by the relatively pure White Chalk limestones of, from youngest to oldest, the Tarrant, Newhaven, Seaford, Lewes Nodular, New Pit, and Holywell Chalk Formations (all Upper Cretaceous). The uplifting and gentle folding of the chalk began 70-75 million years ago and continued beyond the end of the Cretaceous period (65 million years ago) until as recently as 1.8 million years ago.

The uppermost solid geology, however, is the clay, silt and sand of the Lambeth Group, being Tertiary (Palaeocene) irregular beds laid down on the eroded chalk. These are located in an eastwards diminishing band along the shoreline ceasing around Princes Crescent, Hove, and in outcrops on the South Downs: the more significant of the latter are centred on Seven Dials, Nevill Playing Field (West Blatchington) and the Old Shoreham Road cemetery.

Large crystalline sandstone boulders – or sarsen stones – were located in the vicinity of the church St Nicholas, and may even have included some examples of ‘puddingstones’ (a pebbly, or conglomeratic, variety of sarsen stones). ‘Puddingstones’ are certainly a feature of the Goldstone valley, including the Goldstone itself. Although evidently moved around by man and, probably, previously by natural forces, they are a feature of the Downs, concentrated between the River Adur and the River Ouse (see especially Falmer, Rottingdean and Stanmer), and derive from silicification probably (and unusually for Britain) as late as the Neogene or Quaternary.

2.2.2 Drift Geology

The drift geology of the Brighton and Hove area is varied. On the rising downland there are dry valley deposits of sand and gravels, and clay-with-flints. The distinctive dry valleys of the downs are largely a product of periglacial erosion, while the clay-with-flints is a capping of reworked Palaeogene deposits. Along the shoreline there are beach and tidal deposits, and, inland from this, a band of up to c.100m of storm beach deposits. Most remarkably, at Black Rock (Brighton Marina) a raised beach, shore platform and former cliffline are exposed where they meet the present-day shoreline at an oblique angle. The raised beach dates from an interglacial c.200,000 years ago, and reflects a sea-level c.8m above that of today. This so-called Norton-Brighton raised beach appears to continue as far west as Hayling Island. The old shore platform west of Black Rock is buried by beach and non-marine deposits that include chalky soliflucted debris (known as Coombe Rock).

2.3 Communications

Brighton and Hove is located directly on the coast. Although longshore drift has at times relocated the mouth of the River Adur as far east as Aldrington (just within the EUS study area), neither Brighton nor the villages engulfed by its expansion were founded alongside a navigable river. The origins of Brighton itself as a landing-place and fishing centre, however, means that coastal communication was of fundamental importance to the medieval and post-medieval town. Although coastal travel was not as important to the 18th-century and later resort as at Margate (where visitors accessed the resort from London by boat), the establishing in 1763 of a cross-channel Brighton-Dieppe route doubtless had an influence on the success of the town. Cross-channel services have been only very intermittently and briefly maintained since then. More significant, however, has been good coastal communications in the pre-railway

Fig. 3. The sea off Brighton Marina.
age, which were essential for the supply of the expanding town.

2.3.2 Road

Since 1996 Brighton and Hove has been bypassed by the A27(T), on the Downs to the north of the town. Previously this major route along the south coast passed through the town. The former route of this road – the Lewes Road (A270) – leaving the town at the north-west. Other major routes from Brighton and Hove comprise the A259 (eastwards along the cliff-top to Newhaven and beyond, and westwards along the coast to Worthing, via the edge of Shoreham harbour); the A23 (to London, becoming – in the Crawley and Gatwick airport area – the M23); and the Old Shoreham Road (westwards from Brighton station to the A283 at Old Shoreham (where Old Shoreham Bridge was previously a key crossing point of the River Adur), linking to the A27(T) at Kingston-By-Sea). Two minor routes over the top of the South Downs lead to Ditchling and Poynings.

2.3.3 Railway

The London and Brighton Railway Company (from 1846 the London Brighton & South Coast Railway – LBSCR) opened the first railway line in Sussex in 1840, linking Brighton to the port at Shoreham. This branch enabled construction to proceed from both ends of the county’s first main line, between London and Brighton, approved in 1837 and opened in 1841. The coastal line was then extended westwards from Shoreham to Worthing (1845), Chichester (1846) and Havant (connecting to Portsmouth: 1847). Lines from Brighton to Lewes and from Lewes to Bulverhithe/St Leonards opened in 1846. A line from Lewes then provided access to the port of Newhaven (1847, extended to Seaford in 1864). In 1858 the Uckfield line opened (linking to Tunbridge Wells in 1868). The Steyning branch line (1861) was often used as a diversionary route when the Brighton to London main line was blocked. A branch line was built to Kemp Town (joining the Lewes line just east of London Road station) in 1869 (closed 1971). In 1879, the Cliftonville spur opened, bypassing Brighton station and allowing through trains to London from Hove and stations to the west. A branch line was built from Dyke Junction (800m west of Hove station) to Devil’s Dyke, on the Downs, opening in 1887 and closing in 1939. The Brighton-London, coastal and Lewes lines all remain in use and were electrified in 1933-5.25

2.4 Evidence for pre-urban activity

2.4.1 Prehistoric

- Surrenden Road – possible site of a Neolithic long barrow. The former mound was about 260’ x 35’ and 12’-15’ high, with its head pointed ENE (HER reference: TQ 30 NW2 – ES301).
- Preston Drove – possible site of a Neolithic long barrow. A mound existed in the centre of Preston Drove at its junction with Havelock Road. When it was destroyed in 1891-2 scattered human bones were found in the chalk. The mound as shown on OS 25" 1873-5 is estimated as 260’ long, 35’ wide and 12-15’ high. (HER reference: TQ 30 NW3 – ES302).
- Dyke Road Park/Brighton Sixth Form College – Neolithic and Early Bronze Age flint Implements found in 1900-1914 including fragments of three polished axes and four chipped axes, hammerstones, choppers, cores, worked flakes, scrapers etc. Also a Neolithic flint chopper found in 1929 (HER reference TQ 30 NW3 – ES302).
- Former chalk pit near St Nicholas’s church – two Beaker burials found in 1830 in the pit now the site of 15-33 Dyke Road (HER reference: TQ 30 SW8 – ES181).
- Reynolds Road, Hove – Beaker type arrowhead with barbs and tang of equal length found (HER reference: TQ 20 NE19 – ES1067).
- Church Hill – a probable Bronze Age (2350 BC to 701 BC) barrow formerly located (as late as the 19th century) somewhere near the parish church of St Nicholas (HER reference: TQ 30 SW3 – ES176).
- 40 St Patrick’s Road, Hove (vicinity of) – Bronze Age axe (type ‘A’ palstave: bronze, without a loop) found at a depth of 8’ at the bottom of a small pit while digging for drains in 1901 (HER reference: TQ 20 NE18 – ES1066).
- Near St Nicholas’s church – Bronze Age socketed axe found pre-1849 (HER reference: TQ 30 SW6 – ES179).
- 133 Bonchurch Road – two Bronze Age loops were found c.1895, 5’ below the surface of the Downs, on the site now occupied by the centre
of the lower main wall of 133, Bonchurch Road. They lay about 18" apart (HER reference: TQ 30 NW19 – ES318).

• Highbury Road, Hove – Bronze Age bronze hoard weighing about 1 cwt found (probably during construction of houses in 1910-20) and destroyed (HER reference: TQ 30 NW58 – ES1032).

• Hove Tumulus (site of, 13 Palmeira Avenue) – site of Middle Bronze Age (1600 BC to 1001 BC) tumulus destroyed in 1856-7. This contained a probable inhumation in an oak dug-out coffin, with the most remarkable grave assemblage found in Sussex: an amber cup, a Scandinavian stone battle axe, a grooved bronze dagger and a perforated whetstone pendant. The radiocarbon dating and artefact types are consistent with a date in the late 16th or 15th centuries BC (HER reference: TQ 20 SE4 - ES1042).

• 50-52 New Church Road, Hove – Late Bronze Age (1000 BC to 701 BC) looped and socketed bronze axe and a lump of metal found when trenching a piece of ground belonging to and opposite Aldrington House (HER reference: TQ 20 SE3 – ES1041).

• Brading Road (probably towards north end) – Middle Bronze Age bronze dagger and a Late Bronze Age axe (type 'C' palstave), found before 1930 (HER reference: TQ 30 NW53 – ES267).

• St Joseph’s Church, Elm Grove, Brighton – Iron Age (800 BC to 42 AD) ‘saucepan’ type cinerary urn found during construction of the church (nave 1866-9; east end 1881-3) (HER reference: TQ 30 NW87 – ES 300).

Outside the EUS study area, significant archaeological sites and finds nearby further illustrate the nature of prehistoric occupation in the Brighton and Hove area and include:

• Black Rock raised beach – Palaeolithic implements discovered on or near to the (exposed) end of the raised beach and cliff (which dates from an interglacial c.200,000 years ago) include: an Acheulian handaxe found on the shingle of the raised beach at Black Rock in 1914-15 (HER reference: TQ 30 SW13 – ES186); and drift implements from Roedean (HER reference: TQ 30 SE33 – ES255).

• Whitehawk Camp – an important Neolithic causewayed enclosure. The site comprises long-recognized (and scheduled) earthworks that were the subject of excavations in 1932-3 and 1935, and salvage excavations in 1991 as the result of construction of housing on part of the monument. Smaller rescue excavations were undertaken in 1993.


• Downsview, Coldean Lane – Middle and Late Bronze Age settlement discovered and excavated in 1990 in advance of the construction of the Brighton (A27) bypass. There was evidence of eight building terraces, nine round houses, pits, ponds, gullies and fence lines (HER reference: ES7153).

• University of Brighton Varley Halls site, Coldean Lane – Bronze Age settlement including Middle Bronze Age hut terraces, lynchets and ditch (with possible palisade) and Late Bronze Age hut terrace, excavated in 1992 prior to construction of halls of residence.

• Patcham Fawcett School, Carden Avenue – a Middle and Late Bronze Age settlement site that included two roundhouses was excavated in 1993 and 1994 in advance of residential development.

• West Blatchington (Steyning Avenue area) – Late Bronze Age settlement excavated in 1947-9 during residential development. Evidence included an ‘oval cooking place’ in natural clay with 0.75 cwt of pottery, charcoal and animal bones overlaid by a thick layer of pots, a pot containing flint chippings, at least two storage vessels in scoop cavities, and two bronze palstaves (HER reference: TQ 20 NE2 – ES1095).

• East Brighton Golf Club store, Roedean Heights – Early Bronze Age crouched burial and Middle Iron Age ditch discovered during rebuilding and expansion of the equipment store in 2003.

• Hollingbury hillfort – Early Iron Age hillfort. The site comprises long-recognized (and scheduled) earthworks, and has been the subject of excavations in 1931 and in 1967-9, which examined the ramparts and roundhouses.

2.4.2 Romano-British

Although the north-south London-Hassocks Roman road (Margary road no. 150; West Sussex SMR reference: 1932 – WS4200) is usually mapped with confidence only as far south as the scar of the South Downs, it is probable that the road continued to the coast. A recent review of the evidence for the road in the Hassocks and Clayton Gap area suggests that the coast was reached in the Portshead area, reviving similar interpretation from the late 18th century and countering Margary’s less convincing alternative of Brighton.
More certain Romano-British archaeological evidence has been discovered within the EUS study area:

• 99 Trafalgar Street – evidence for a Roman cemetery comprising inhumations and cremations was found when foundations were in 1827. Finds included a 2nd-century Samian cup (form 33) stamped ‘SACIRO’ (HER reference: TQ 30 SW20 – ES193).

• St Mary’s Hall, Kemp Town - Roman objects were found in 1906-8, in a garden at the back of St Mary's Hall. These comprised: fragments of a pot in 'an ancient pit'; two fibulae; a “3rd brass' coin of Constantine I (or II); a brass coin of Sabina (consort of Hadrian); a small bronze ‘knee brooch' of c.AD200; large grey pots, probably domestic vessels; and a triangular brick loomweight (HER reference: TQ 30 SW23 – ES196).

• St Peter’s Place – a Romano-British cinerary urn found at the junction of the Ditchling and Lewes roads (HER reference: TQ 30 SW24 – ES197).

• Round Hill Crescent – Roman ‘thumb-pot' possibly dating from AD 200-50). Roman coins have also been found here (HER reference: TQ 30 NW74 – ES287).

• Recreation ground, Old Shoreham Road, Portsland-by-Sea – Roman cemetery evidenced by finding in 1875 (in what was then a brickfield) of 20 or more cremation burials in urns, with Samian and New Forest pottery (HER reference: TQ 20 NE40 – ES1080).

• Springfield Road – Roman villa discovered during works in 1876 and 1877 in advance of house building, with subsequent excavations in 1962-3. The date is unclear, with some evidence for late 1st-century occupation and some for the late 3rd century: it is possible that the site was continuously occupied between.37

• Pavilion Grounds – a ‘2nd brass' coin of Hadrian was found in 1911 during gardening between South West Gate and New Road lavatory (HER reference: TQ 30 SW35 – ES208).

• Victoria Gardens and The Level – numerous Roman coins were found when these were set out in the early 19th century. A silver denarius of Vespasian (69-79) was found in Victoria Gardens in 1921 (HER reference: TQ 30 SW37 – ES210).

• Pankhurst Avenue – a ‘3rd brass' coin of Trajan (105-10) was found in 1929 (HER reference: TQ 30 SW38 – ES211).

• Old Steine – a ‘1st brass' of Severus Alexander (222-35) was found in 1822 (HER reference: TQ 30 SW40 – ES213).

• Bates Road – a ‘3rd brass’ of Arcadius (395-408) was found at the allotment gardens (now flats) (HER reference: TQ 30 NW62 – ES275).


• Franklin Road, Hove – a ‘3rd brass' of Magnentius found at No 6 (although this address appears to be non-existent) (HER reference: TQ 20 NE15 – ES1063).

• York Avenue – one or two 4th-century coins (3rd brass) of Constantine were found in 1887, when levelling a school cricket-field west of Norfolk Terrace (HER reference: TQ 30 SW15 – ES188).

• St Martin’s church, Lewes Road – coins of Antoninus Pius (138-161) Gallienus (253-268) and Claudius II (268-70) were found in c.1876 (probably during construction of the church in 1874-5) (HER reference: TQ 30 NW76 – ES289).

Outside the EUS study area, several significant archaeological sites further illustrate the nature of Romano-British occupation in the Brighton and Hove area:

• Southwick villa (around junction of Southwick Street and Manor Hall Road) – large and early (1st-century) Roman villa, known from at least 1815, most extensively excavated in 1931, and the subject of smaller excavations in 1965 and 1981. Now the (partly scheduled) site is built over.38

• West Blatchington villa (around junction of Amberley Drive and Burwash Road) – 3rd to 4th-century Roman villa of basilican (i.e. aisled) type excavated in 1947-9 during residential development. Evidence for earlier occupation of the site includes 11 corn-drying pits dating from the 2nd or 3rd century (HER reference: TQ 20 NE2 – ES1095).

• Hove Park (Goldstone Bottom) – Romano-British pottery and tiles found during the construction of hard tennis courts in 1949, possibly indicating that this was the site of a Roman villa (HER reference: TQ 20 NE2 – ES358).

• Eastwick Barn area – Romano-British field system excavated and investigated in advance of construction of the bypass (A27) in 1989 and 1991.39
2.4.3 Early and mid-Saxon

- Stafford Road/Exeter Street area – Anglo-Saxon inhumation cemetery evidenced by find of burials and grave goods in the Upper Hamilton Road, Exeter Road and Stafford Road area in 1883-93 and 1985. Grave goods include four shield bosses, a sword, spearheads, knives and copper alloy button brooches. The finds have dated the pagan cemetery to the 6th and 7th centuries. More human bones were recovered during construction of a kitchen extension at 30 Exeter Street in 2000, representing a large proportion of a female: these are probably to be associated with the Saxon cemetery (HER reference [to 30 Exeter Street]: ES6912 – ES6912).

- Junction of Church Road and St Andrew’s Road, Hove – Anglo-Saxon cemetery evidenced by finds of inhumations (apparently oriented east-west) and a cinerary urn in 1898, 1927 and 1949. Finds include a knife and spearheads (HER reference: TQ 20 NE41 – ES1081).

Outside the EUS study area, one archaeological site further illustrates the nature of early and mid-Saxon occupation in the Brighton and Hove area:

- Rocky Clump, Stanmer – a small cemetery of early or, more possibly, mid-Saxon date excavated between 1951 and 1981.

2.4.4 Implications of pre-urban archaeology

The number of pre-urban sites and find spots for Brighton and Hove is considerable, and reflects the size of the area. Distribution maps of such evidence for early occupation show that the density of finds recovered within and near to the EUS study area is similar to the Downland and Coastal Plain elsewhere in the county.
3 THE HISTORY OF BRIGHTON & HOVE

3.1 Medieval origins

3.1.1 Place-name
The name Brighton is a contraction (first reliably recorded in 1686 and popularly adopted from the early 19th century) of earlier forms of the placename that developed from Bristelmestune, Bristelmeston and Brictelmestune (variant spellings in the late 11th and early 12th centuries), via late and post-medieval spellings such as Brighthelmeston and Brighthelmston. The name derives from a personal name, in Old English meaning ‘Beorthelm’s farm’.43

3.1.2 11th-century trading place
As with other ports in the south-east, Brighton appears to have developed as a landing-place, and only subsequently saw seigneurial interest and development into a town.44 The early function of the landing-place as a fishing centre is reflected in payment from one manorial holding of a rent of 4000 herrings recorded in Domesday Book (1086). There is no suggestion in Domesday Book, however, that Brighton was a town – the manors were inhabited by villagers and smallholders, not burgesses.

3.1.3 Church
Domesday Book records a church at Brighton. This evidently relates to the parish church of St Nicholas – a highly appropriate dedication for a coastal church that, given its location, may have provided a landmark for sailors.45 The granting of the church by Ralph de Chesney to the new Cluniac priory at Lewes was confirmed c.1093.46

A vicarage was ordained in the early 13th century, and in 1252 a house was to be provided. The rectorial tithes remained with Lewes Priory.47

Brighton had gained an additional church by 1147, in the form of a dependent parochial chapel dedicated, by c.1185, to St Bartholomew:48 although dependent, evidence of a substantial graveyard indicates that the new chapel had the right of burial (see below, section 4.1.1). The parish church brotherhoods of Holy Trinity and St George were in existence by 1497.49

3.1.4 Market
In 1312 Brighton was granted a weekly (Thursday) market and an annual fair (on the eve, day and morrow of St Bartholomew: i.e. 23rd-25th August).50 Political expediency by Edward II had much to do with the flurry of market grants at this time and, rather than indicating radical change in the nature of the settlement and its economy, this grant is likely to have done no more than formalize customary usage.51

3.1.5 Urbanization
Jamison has noted that the treatment of Brighton as a single entity in the 1332 and later subsidies contrasts with its subdivision in the 1296 subsidy, and suggests that this could reflect the laying out of the town.52 However, there is more substantive evidence for the dating of the development of a town from the earlier fishing centre. Unlike the rather detached parish church, the new dependent parochial chapel of St Bartholomew (in existence by 1147) was located within the heart of the later medieval town. This dependent chapel evidently served the town itself and appears to have been a typical foundation at the time of the creation of a new town.53 Certainly, it is implausible that the chapel would have been built without significant expansion of the modest Domesday settlement, and thus the development of the town from the earlier trading place can be dated with a high degree of probability to the 12th century.

Fig. 4. Church of St Nicholas, Brighton.
Seigneurial involvement in the foundation of the town is to be expected, and is implied by the endowment of the chapel with the surrounding 2½ acres of ‘the Bartholomews’ by the lords of the manor of ‘Brighton-Lewes’, very probably the same manor that appears to have contained the fishing community in 1086.

While there is evidence that Brighton had acquired town-like status as early as the mid-12th century, it was evidently a minor centre and lacked the institutions and functions of the more established Sussex boroughs such as Lewes and Steyning. Not surprisingly, the town is poorly documented. The importance of the coastal location is evident, however: in the early 13th century, the vicar had to pay the rector 2000 herrings as part of his annual recompense for receiving all the altar offerings and a share of the tithes; in 1268 the inhabitants were sued for sea-wrecking; in 1301 and 1302, the town was required to supply a ship for the war with Scotland; and by 1497 Brighton boats took part in the autumn cod and herring fishery on the east coast (the Yarmouth Fare).

That the sea-based economy of medieval Brighton was based on fishing rather than that of a more general port is evident from the absence of identifiable local merchants, although Brighton’s location within the bounds of the Port of Shoreham may underplay its contribution to shipping.

The coastal location would have made Brighton vulnerable to French raids in the Hundred Years War. The closest recorded action was the French landing in 1377 at Rottingdean, with the burning of the church and that at Ovingdean, only 4km east of Brighton. The sparing of Brighton at this time doubtless had more to do with the fact that the French had come along the coast from the east, having been seen off at Winchelsea, rather than indicating significant defences at Brighton. Some defences were in place at Brighton by the late 15th century, however, with ‘the werke’ (later called ‘the Bulwark’) and a sea-gate (possibly implying a wall along the low cliff-top) recorded in 1497.

These defences were insufficient to repel an attack by the French in 1514. A depiction of the event shows a small town, although a contemporary account of the incident describes Brighton as a ‘poore village’ from which Admiral Prégent de Bidoux could loot only ‘poor goodes’. Evidently, at the beginning of the 16th century Brighton was still only a minor fishing town.

The value of the rectorial tithes decreased by 10% between 1291 and 1340 due to loss of 40 acres of arable land to encroachment by the sea.

### 3.2 The town c.1540-1740

#### 3.2.1 Economic history

The 16th century saw recovery of the Yarmouth herring and the Scarborough cod industries: these had gone into severe decline in the late 14th century to the detriment of the Cinque Ports, which had long-enjoyed privileged access. The decayed state of key Cinque Ports, such as Hastings, Rye and Winchelsea, in the 16th century doubtless helped Brighton benefit from revival in the North Sea fisheries. Along with other south-coast ports, Brighton was involved in both the cod and herring fisheries, initially, in the mid-16th century, focusing on the Scarborough Fare, but later in the century predominantly at the Yarmouth Fare, selling the herring catches for curing at Yarmouth. In 1580, Brighton had 80 fishing boats and in 1582, 36 of these were of 10 tons or more. At this time around 40-50 boats attended the Yarmouth Fare. By the early 17th century Brighton fishermen were using boats up to 40 tons for the east coast fares, and smaller boats for local herring and mackerel fishing. In 1626, when England was at war with Spain and France, the total fishing fleet at Brighton numbered about 60 boats. 14 boats had been seized by the enemy, and the fishermen sought protection from warships. Convoys to North Foreland were certainly employed during the Commonwealth, with 50 Brighton boats escorted...
in 1653 and again in 1658.67 The difficulties arising from the vulnerability of the south-coast fleets to attack by privateers were compounded by falling herring prices and accelerating erosion of the beach, and during the 1660s the herring fishing industry at Brighton went into rapid decline. By the 1680s the fleet sailing to Yarmouth had reduced to 30 boats, and in 1697 the last Brighton fleet to fish the Yarmouth Fare comprised only four boats.68 Although the trade continued to remain a visible feature of the town (with boats hauled up the beach), fishing thereafter was small scale and limited to local herring and mackerel.69

A significant coasting trade developed in the 16th and 17th centuries and outlasted the fishing trade. In the late 16th century 40% of the cargoes of the Port of New Shoreham and 20% of the cargoes of the Port of Newhaven were carried by Brighton vessels. Cargoes were dominated by corn, timber and iron, mainly exported to Kentish ports and to London.70 In the late 17th century the beach at Brighton handled about 40 cargoes per annum, or two-thirds of the trade at nearby Shoreham; and as late as the 1720s vessels of 60 tons and above appear to have beached at Brighton, although this was a period where the outlets of both the River Ouse and the River Adur were diverted eastwards by longshore drift to the serious impediment of the harbours at Newhaven and Shoreham.71

Except for this coasting trade, there is little evidence for other significant diversity in the economy of the town in the 16th and 17th centuries. During the period from 1540 to 1640, the non-agricultural occupations represented in Brighton comprised fisherman, mariner, shipwright, butcher, brewer, miller, malster, tailor, shoemaker, weaver, carpenter, mason, cooper, blacksmith, innkeeper, mercer and labourer. Despite the considerable population of the town, this is a more limited range than that found at nearby Lewes, reflecting the dominance of fishing at Brighton, and the absence of the judicial and administrative function of the ancient borough of Lewes and the gentry that this attracted.72

The rise and fall of the Brighton fishing industry was thus clearly reflected in the town. The effect was most obvious in the lower town. On the undercliff foreshore, the scatter of buildings shown in the view of 1514 became more densely packed. In 1576 there were 90 workshops as well as nearly 50 ‘capstanplaces’ for hauled-up boats.73 As late as 1665 there were 113 ‘houses’ under the cliff, though only 24 of these (9% of the town’s total) were sufficient to be returned in the Hearth Tax that year. Evidently the undercliff was dominated by less substantial cottages, hovels, workshops and huts. These were vulnerable to storm damage, and the declining lower town (all but gone in 1703, with only three tenements surviving)74 appears to have been finally destroyed by a storm in 1705.75

Fig. 6. The Old Ship: an inn since at least the 17th century, modified and expanded as the resort developed.

The population figures for the period provide evidence for the wider impact of the fishing industry on the town. From a parish total of around 900 in 1565, the population rose rapidly to around 1,450 in 1580, to 2,700 in c.1630, and to c.4000 in 1657. Slight depopulation to c.2,600 in 1676 is typical of the period and may well reflect no more than epidemics: this population was still considerably in excess of the c.1,125 at nearby Lewes at the same date, and was the largest in the county. The continuing fall to c.2,250 in 1724 and to c.2,040 in 1744, however, is contrary to recovery seen in many other Sussex towns over this period (including Lewes, which temporarily resumed its position as the largest town in eastern Sussex) and does appear to reflect the declining economic fortunes of Brighton.76 Perhaps most tellingly, due to poverty 336 (i.e. 74%) of the 454 houses recorded in 1744 were exempted from paying rates.77 Brighton c.1740 was all too clearly a town in economic and physical decay.
3.2.2 Church and religion

This period began with the drama of Henry VIII’s Dissolution of the Monasteries. The parish church was institutionally robust, although the impact of Dissolution on the brotherhoods of the Holy Trinity and St George was immediate and terminal. The significance for the chapel of St Bartholomew was greater still as this was confiscated at the suppression of chantries (in 1547), and was ruinous when sold two years later.78

Although Brighton escaped the dubious honour of being chosen as one of five Sussex locations for Marian martyrdom in 1555-7, the parish provided two of the Protestant recalcitrants that were burnt at the stake elsewhere.79

No Roman Catholic recusants were recorded in Bishop Compton’s census of 1676. The 260 Protestant nonconformists that were recorded at this time reflect an unusually high level of dissent that flourished in eastern Sussex in the renewed conformism of the Restoration (1660) and, especially, the Act of Uniformity (1662) with its Revised Book of Common Prayer.80

Presbyterians accounted for most of the early nonconformism, with around 200 meeting in 1669, and a minister from 1672. This had expanded to a congregation of 400 in 1690.81 Following the Toleration Act (1689), purpose-built premises became more realistic, and a meeting house was built in Union Street in 1698.82 The Quakers had established a meeting house and burial ground in North Street by 1701.83 In 1724, the 500 families of Brighton included 150 Presbyterians, three Anabaptists, six Quakers and no papists.84

A Privy Council commission reviewed the ancient customs of the town in 1580, establishing what was typically urban oligarchic government in the form of appointed inhabitants, known as ‘the Twelve’. This had ceased to function by the mid-17th century.87

The Town House (or hall) had been built on the eastern side of the blockhouse by 1580, and in 1730 had a turret and clock.88 The Market House was under the cliff nearby in 1665, and was destroyed in the storms of 1703 and 1705. In 1734 a replacement was built between East Street and Black Lion Street (in the Bartholomews).89 The Town House was relocated there in 1727, but principally functioned as a workhouse: this expanded to the north in 1733.90

The large post-medieval population of Brighton is likely to have given rise to schools, and a schoolmaster was licensed as early as 1581. Other schoolmasters are recorded in the 17th century, but it is not until 1701-2 that there are records of a school when an Anglican charity school was either founded or expanded. The master from 1702-50 was John Grover, a prominent Quaker in the town. Initially in Black Lion Street, the school moved in 1726 to Meeting House Lane. A girls’ school was founded in 1702, but closed at an unknown date.91

The earliest provision for sport recorded in the town was a bowling green on the Steine by 1665.92

3.3 The resort: c.1740-1820

3.3.1 Economic history

From the economic low point of the early 18th century Brighton recovered so that by 1780 the town had emerged as the nation’s pre-eminent seaside resort. There were both general and specific causes of such revival, or reinvention, of the town. The general (and interconnected) conditions that favoured the development of coastal resorts in the 18th century include the sharply increasing urban population of England; the increasingly urbanized nature of fashion and society; the rise in national wealth; greater numbers of the leisurely wealthy class; and the growing interest in sea bathing and, even, the early stirrings of the Romantic, or Picturesque, appeal of the coast (a sensibility more widely cultivated by the end of the century). All but the specifically coast-related factors had proved important in the emergence of inland spa resorts from the late 17th century. Such successful spa resorts as Bath and Tunbridge Wells set the
standards that needed to be met by their aspiring seaside equivalents. With the physical structure of a large town – only recently the largest in Sussex – Brighton was better placed to provide urban sophistication than many other proto-resorts. Other specific factors that favoured the initial success of Brighton as a resort included the lack of conflicting economic focus or interests; readily available accommodation, workforce and investment capital; and proximity to London, the county towns (especially nearby Lewes) and an existing spa resort (Tunbridge Wells).

Good road connections were fundamental to the earliest function of Brighton as a resort, with key routes in the mid-18th century, inherited from the medieval period, being to Shoreham, Steyning and Horsham (thence to London); Ditchling and Lindfield (thence to London); and Lewes and East Grinstead (thence to London). Road improvements through turnpiking had a significant effect on Brighton long before the oft-cited turnpiking of the parallel routes to London through Cuckfield and Lindfield through Acts of 1770. The first turnpike in the county, in 1717, was the London to East Grinstead road, and this was extended to Ashdown Forest in 1723, and to Lewes in 1752, leaving only a non-turnpiked 12km route to Brighton. In 1766 the Lewes-East Grinstead road was connected (by a turnpike from Forest Row) to the fashionable spa town of Tunbridge Wells. Turnpiking heralded the advent of stage-coaches, here linking Shoreham, Brighton, Newhaven and Lewes to London, via an overnight stop at East Grinstead, from 1741: the improvements to the route had reduced this to a one day service, operating three times a week in each direction, by 1756.

Although Brighton developed quickly as a resort, soon overtaking resorts such as Margate and Weymouth, the change was not instantaneous. Visitors coming to the town for the purposes of sea bathing are recorded from 1736, but no resort facilities are known before 1750. Evidently the earliest visitors were accommodated by the existing facilities (which included at least seven inns), against a background of continuing economic decline, decreasing population, and further erosion of the seafront.

An early example of new development was the substantial townhouse of Nathaniel Brooker, built...
on the west side of the Steine in the 1740s. In 1752-3 this had acquired a more public function as the Castle Inn. Also at this date, Dr Richard Russell of Lewes, an early and significant advocate of sea bathing and drinking of seawater at Brighton, built his substantial house and consulting rooms at the southern end of the Steine (demolished 1826, the site now the Royal Albion Hotel). Access to the sea was easier for wheeled bathing machines here than from the low cliff to the west, and these were available for hire (with necessary attendants) at the time Russell built his house. Russell and other doctors from Lewes and London had prescribed Brighton sea water from the 1740s, and increasing medicinal use in the 1750s and 1760s led to the building of Dr Awsiter’s seawater baths in 1769, again at the southern end of the Steine. Other early resort facilities include a substantial assembly room, added to the Castle Inn in 1754; a second assembly room, at the Old Ship, in 1767; an ancient chalybeate spring at Wick, given a well-house and promoted as a spa as an alternative to seawater in the 1760s; two circulating libraries by the early 1760s; a town pack of fox hounds by the late 1750s; a purpose-built theatre, in North Street, from 1772-3; and several coffee houses by 1770. As with other early seaside resorts and the spa towns, the use of such facilities by visitors, and the social interaction of the visitors themselves, became part of a structured programme or ‘season’. By the late 1750s the Brighton season was actively promoted, and by the late 1760s, when it lasted form late June to the end of September, the town had gained a Master of Ceremonies, Captain William Wade. Influential Sussex families, such as the Pelhams, were amongst the earlier visitors, and doubtless this local patronage of the new resort was significant in attracting still wealthier visitors from further afield. By the mid-1760s the sophistication of the resort and the wealth and influence of its visitors were sufficient to attract royalty, in the form of the Duke of Gloucester and the Duke of York.

Communications continued to develop between 1750 and 1770. In addition to turnpike Acts for the Cuckfield and Lindfield routes to London – the former significantly faster – 1770 also saw the turnpiking of the Lewes to Brighton road. The road through Henfield also developed as a London-Brighton route when turnpiked in 1771 and 1777. A new period in Brighton’s communications began in 1763, when cross-channel passenger traffic from Sussex – which had lapsed out of Rye by 1700 – was revived in the form of a Brighton-Dieppe route, albeit served by boats from Newhaven and, especially, Shoreham.
Most famously, the late 18th century saw the creation of the Royal Pavilion. George, Prince of Wales (from 1811 exercising the functions of Prince Regent) first came to Brighton in 1783 and in 1785-6 determined to have a permanent residence. This entailed conversion of an existing lodging house on the west side of the Steine in 1786-7, and expansion of the building and grounds in the 1790s and from 1810-22 (see section 4.5.1). While the prince’s regular and prolonged visits to the town continued until 1820 (when he became King George IV) and can only have enhanced the season at the resort (notwithstanding his reputation as a profligate), his importance to the development of the resort has been over-emphasized and mythologized. Rather than adopting and promoting a decayed fishing ‘village’, George’s arrival in Brighton reflected the social status that the new resort had already achieved. Largely irrespective of his presence, the increasingly rapid expansion of the town (and improving transport links) from the 1780s ensured that by c.1820 the earlier social exclusivity had been lost and that the Royal Pavilion had become isolated from the most fashionable townhouses (and occupants) increasingly located in new suburbs away from the Steine.  

Suburbs had become inevitable as, by 1780, the old town could no longer accommodate development for new housing and resort facilities. The historic town was surrounded on its landward sides by farmland in the form of five open fields (or laines). In typical open field fashion, the laines were divided into furlongs, and the furlongs into narrow strips (locally known as ‘paulpieces’). No enclosure of the entire laines occurred, so development required purchase of individual paulpieces or usually, given that these could measure 12½ ft by 500 ft (3.8m x 152.4m), blocks of adjacent strips. In 1738 the open fields were held by 25 landholders.  

Located on the east side of the fashionable Steine and along the sea, the southern half (Cliff Furlong) of Little Laine was developed first. In the mid-1780s the streets between the Steine and Madeira Place were already under construction, with the most expensive lodging houses located along the east side of the Steine and along Marine Parade (the cliff-top road). Further east, New Steine had begun to be built by 1790, but eastwards expansion then slowed in the 1790s. Thus, when Royal Crescent was begun in the late 1790s as the first development in East Laine, it stood to the east of the other suburbs until the gap was infilled by 1814.
apart from where it fringed the Steine at North, South and Pavilion Parades (built in the early 1780s). Nonetheless, some attempt was made from 1792 to emulate the area to the south (such as at Dorset Gardens), but by the late 1790s development of the furlong was soon focused on providing more modest and equally necessary houses for the expanding number of tradesmen and artisans. Similar modest housing and businesses dominated the suburbs developed to the north of Little Laine, in Hilly Laine, between 1800 and 1820. Again, the exception here was the lodging houses along the east side of the Steine, such as Carlton Terrace (1806-8). The eastward extent of the Hilly Laine suburbs by 1820 tapered off sharply north of Carlton Hill, though, along the Lewes Road frontage only, development had reached as far north as Waterloo Place (1819) and the then isolated Hanover Terrace (begun c.1820).108

Lower class housing and businesses were features of the earliest expansion on the west side of the old town, into West Laine, in the 1770s and early 1780s. This small-scale and dense development included Kent’s Court (formerly off the southern end of West Street), Great and Little Russell Street (neither of which survive), and Farmyard. The potential for upper class housing was soon evident as the initial focus on Little Laine made further eastwards development of the resort unattractively distant from its social centre – the Steine. More pragmatically, West Laine was nearer the concentration of services in the old town. Building began in the 1790s, again focusing on the furlongs adjacent to the old town and cliff (most notably, Cliff Butts). Previous enclosure of parts of West Laine made development simpler, as at Bedford Square (begun 1801) and, on the grander scale, at Regency Square (begun 1817). Between these squares, and inland, there was a scatter of larger detached villas, almost all replaced by more densely packed post-1820 housing.109

Development of North Laine began along its eastern edge along the Steine (North Row: begun 1771). The area between North Street and Church Street lay immediately south of the open field and, in the mid-18th century comprised gardens or crofts to properties on North Street. This began to be built up and penetrated by new north-south roads by 1780. By 1820, both this and the southern furlong of North Laine (now bounded by Church Street and North Lane) were densely built up with workers houses and businesses. To the north, Kensington Gardens (begun by 1810) was built up, but otherwise the area between North Lane and Trafalgar Place functioned in a manner similar to the crofts off North Street 70 years earlier, with urban fringe usage such as market gardens, workshops and stables.110

Fig. 11. Regency Square: an example of suburban development of West Laine.

The large-scale suburban expansion of Brighton after 1780 is a key theme in the economic history of the town at this time. By accommodating both visitors and those servicing their needs, it allowed – and was driven by – the popularization of the resort: what would now be called the ‘tourism industry’ expanded hugely beyond that supported by visitors c.1750. At the same time, the vast (albeit haphazard) construction exercise generated employment in building and related industries.

The population figures for the period c.1740-1820, reflect the continuing economic decline in the early years – despite the first hints of the future reinvention of the town – followed by accelerating economic growth. Thus, the population of c.2,040 in 1744 continued to fall to c.1,830 in 1753, before rising to c.2,690 in 1770, 3,620 in 1786, 7,339 in 1801 and 24,429 in 1821.111 Between 1811 and 1821 Brighton was the fastest growing town in Britain.112
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3.3.2 Church and religion

The church of St Nicholas continued as the parish church throughout this period. The revitalization of the town from the mid-18th century had a material impact on the church, with eight new bells cast in 1777, and, more directly a reflection of a growing population, an extension to the churchyard in 1789. A further extension for burials followed in 1818.

The parish church was soon unable to provide for the expanding population and, especially, the visitors. The Chapel Royal, North Street, was built in 1793-5, specifically to meet the demands of the season, in 1803 becoming a chapel of ease to the parish church. This was followed in 1810 by the chapel of St James, St James’s Street, built to serve the new suburb of Kemp Town.

Nonconformism continued to have a strong presence in Brighton, although by 1740 it accounted for a smaller proportion of the population than it had earlier in the century. The two 17th-century meeting houses continued in use, with the Presbyterians remaining in Union Street and the Quakers moving (as a result of the expansion of the Royal Pavilion) to their current site in Ship Street in 1808. Calvinistic Methodists were provided for in 1761 with the building of the Countess of Huntingdon’s chapel in North Street (replaced in 1871). The Salem Baptist chapel in Bond Street opened in 1787 (replaced 1861, and demolished 1974). Wesleyan Methodists established themselves in the town in 1804 (unusually, the impetus coming from Methodists amongst the soldiers then flooding the town) and built their first chapel in the town in 1808 in Dorset Gardens (replaced 1884 and 2002). Providence (Calvinistic) chapel, Church Street was built in 1805, and the Unitarians built a chapel (Christ Church) in New Road in 1819. Holy Trinity, Ship Street, was built for Thomas Kemp’s own sect, before being bought by the Diocese of Chichester in 1826.

The first Roman Catholic church was built in 1806-7 in High Street, Kemp Town (closed 1856). A Jewish community established itself in Brighton in the 1780s with temporary synagogues in Jew Street then, by 1808, Poune Court, off West Street.

The lack of church building (especially, but not exclusively, Anglican) whilst Brighton expanded rapidly between 1800 and 1820 is notable yet has been poorly understood: quite simply, there was a national absence of any church building movement at this time – with construction largely confined to replacements or, as seen at Brighton, ventures speculating in pew-rents – and it was not until the very end of this period (and the symptomatic ‘Million Act’ of 1818 that provided for the Commissioners’ Churches) that the wave of church building that was a feature of the 19th century got under way.

3.3.3 Defence

In the early 18th century Brighton had not expanded its defences beyond the late 16th-century blockhouse. Eventually, the blockhouse was undermined by the sea, partly collapsed in 1748 and was ruinous in 1773. With the advent of the Seven Years’ War (1756-63) a replacement was required, and a new brick-built battery opposite the lower end of East Street was one of seven built along the Sussex coast in 1759. Within twenty years the guns were in a poor state and this battery too collapsed into the sea in 1786. The greater threat of the French Revolutionary and Napoleonic Wars (1793-1815), saw an urgent need for renewed defence and this was provided in 1793 by the East Battery (opposite the lower end of Madeira...
Place) and the West Battery (immediately in front of the, later, Grand Hotel). Again, erosion was to play its part, with the East Battery defunct by 1807 and largely gone by 1809. At the peak of danger after the temporary respite of the Peace of Amiens (1802-3) and before naval victory at the Battle of Trafalgar (1806), Brighton had 45 lightly armed vessels manned by local seafaring volunteers (sea fencibles).

Barracks were a feature of the county during the French Revolutionary and Napoleonic Wars, housing thousands of soldiers when coastal invasion was most feared. Many of these were temporary structures quickly dismantled or sold off when the threat of invasion diminished. In addition to small-scale temporary barracks, Brighton had two main barracks: the Church Street barracks (built in the 1790s and demolished 1869: marked by Barrack Place, to the rear of Marlborough Place) and Preston Barracks, 1.6km north-east of the town at that time, on the Lewes road. Preston Barracks was built in 1796, rebuilt as a permanent barracks in 1800, and partly survives (in rebuilt form) as a Territorial Army centre.

### 3.3.4 Urban institutions

In addition to specialized resort facilities (such as sea-water baths), the Brighton of 1740-1820 had other more typical urban institutions.

With the terminal decline of the civic government of the 'the Twelve' by the mid-17th century, administration was largely undertaken by the parish vestry. The demands of an expanding population and wealthy visitors required a more specifically urban administration capable of regulating and funding paving, lighting, street-cleaning, sanitary provision, groynes (on the beach), and the market. This was provided under an Act of 1773, which appointed town commissioners, who were able to levy rates. A second Act of 1810 reformed the administration and extended the area and the powers. An early action of the commissioners was the building in 1774 of a new daily market, on the site of the north-western part of the present town hall in the Bartholomews. At the same time a distinct Market House was built facing the west side of the market, on the site now occupied by 9 and 10 Bartholomews.

Despite its growth, Brighton gained little in the way of local justice in this period. Petty Sessions were held from 1812, but quarter sessions remained at Lewes. Policing began in 1812 with eight nightwatchmen appointed by the town commissioners, followed by another 16 in 1815.

The Meeting House Lane charity school continued to be used throughout this period. In 1768 it was supplemented by a second Anglican charity school (in Yeakell and Gardner's 1779 map [Fig. 64], it is shown on Duke Street). In 1801 this combined with the earlier school in the Meeting House Lane building: the schools separated in 1818 when the earlier foundation became the National school. The girls’ school was revived between 1801 and 1818. An inter-denominational, but strongly nonconformist, boys’ Union Charity School opened in Middle Street in 1807 (later known as Middle Street School), with the Union Street School for Girls opening the following year.

The workhouse in the Bartholomews was extended in 1794. The Percy and Wagner almshouses in Lewes Road were built in 1795 for six poor (Anglican) widows.

The Sussex General Infirmary opened in 1812 in North Street, opposite Ship Street, in premises already established as the Brighthelmston Dispensary in 1811.

Cricket was played in the town by 1776, almost certainly initially using the Steine. Ball games were banned there in 1787, with the Level then being used: the Prince of Wales’s ground was laid out on the Level in 1791.

### 3.4 Expansion: c.1820-2006

#### 3.4.1 Economic history

Brighton and Hove’s economic history throughout the period has continued to be dominated by urban and suburban expansion. From 24,429 in 1821, Brightons’ population rose to 40,634 in 1831, but expansion noticeably slowed in the 1830s, only rising to 48,661 by 1841. Development in Hove parish (see below) began to be significant in this period, with the population rising from a meagre 319 in 1829, to 1,360 in 1831 and 2,509 in 1841. After 1841 (the year that the London-Brighton railway opened), the growth of Brighton and Hove saw renewed vigour: for the parishes of Brighton and Hove in 1851, a total of 69,673; for the Borough of Brighton, Hove parish and the newly suburbanized parish of Preston in 1881, a total of 128,440; for Brighton and Hove boroughs in 1911, a total of 173,410; for Brighton and Hove boroughs in 1931, a total of 202,420 and for Brighton and Hove unitary authority in 2001, a total of 247,820.
Fig. 13. Town Hall, Bartholomews (1830-2).

Brighton belatedly had its status recognized by gaining parliamentary representation. The town acquired two members of parliament at the first electoral Reform Act (1832), at the expense of ‘rotten’ boroughs such as Bramber and Winchelsea. With further electoral reform in 1867, Brighton was the only town in Sussex to return two members. The emerging civic status was reflected in the building in 1830-2 of the substantial Town Hall, in Bartholomews. This was followed, in 1854, by the first charter of incorporation. Superseding the town commissioners, established in the 18th century, this gave the town a stronger role in law and order: the corporation had a police force, a coroner and a commission of the peace, with a court of quarter sessions. Following the Local Government Act 1888, Brighton and Hastings (and, in 1911, Eastbourne) became county boroughs, operating independently from the county councils: all three county boroughs lost this status in 1974 when they were absorbed into East Sussex as districts (following the Local Government Act 1972), but Brighton (this time together with Hove borough) re-established independence from the county council in 1997 when it became the only unitary authority in Sussex. Granting of the title ‘city’ in 2000 simply confirmed the town’s economic pre-eminence in the historic county.

Resort facilities continued to develop from 1820, to accommodate visitors who were increasingly numerous and no longer restricted to the social elite who adopted Brighton in the early years of the resort. Symptomatic of this change is the fact that around 1820, the role of the Master of Ceremonies as co-ordinator of the season came to an end. More tangibly, by this time the more fashionable housing had already begun to be found in the new suburbs away from the Steine. In 1822 – ironically the same year that the Royal Pavilion saw completion – King’s Road was opened, bypassing the roads of the Old Town and providing a new promenade (incorporating a sea wall) that superseded the Steine. The important link between King’s Road and Marine Parade – Grand Junction Road – opened in 1829. A new bathing house (Brill’s Baths) opened in 1823, where East Street met the new promenade: significantly it was Brighton’s first communal swimming pool, marking a change from the earlier provision for personal bathing.

The seafront thereafter became the focus of resort development, boosted, after (albeit not instantaneously) the opening of the railway to London in 1841, by increased and less affluent visitors. The town gained its first pier in 1823 (Chain Pier; destroyed 1896), although this retained something of the function of a jetty as it was used by the cross-channel passenger service (in 1825 the General Steam Navigation Company started a paddle steamboat service from Newhaven to Dieppe, via Brighton Chain Pier, but this lapsed immediately). The two later examples of piers (West Pier, 1863-6, closed 1975 now derelict; and Palace Pier, 1891-1899) were built purely for pleasure. The Aquarium opened in 1872, and, as it was built over the road and sea-wall to the Chain Pier, involved the building of a new sea wall and promenade (the western part of Madeira Drive: 1869). Volk’s Railway – an electric narrow-gauge line initially from the Aquarium entrance to the Chain Pier – opened in 1883. This was extended to the Banjo Groyne in 1884 and to Black Rock in 1901. The 1901 extension was granted by way of compensation for enforced closure – for the purpose of groyne construction – of Volk’s Rottingdean Railway (an electric railway that opened in 1896, and which ran along the intertidal chalk shoreface ramp between Banjo Groyne and Rottingdean, with a car supported by legs 24ft high). Also extending eastwards from the Aquarium – and still surviving – is Madeira Terrace, built on cast-iron columns in 1830-8, with the lift by Marine Square added in 1890. On reclaimed land adjacent to the lift the bowling greens and gardens of Madeira Lawns was set out in 1889.
grandest station hotels, the Grand (1862-4) and the Metropole (1888-90) added to the prestige of the more central part of the seafront, and have helped sustain this focus. To the west, Hove esplanade was built in 1884-97.147

A grandstand was added to the race-course in 1822,148 but the change in the type of visitor to the town after 1841 saw the abandonment of the races by many of the most fashionable. However, after construction of another new stand in 1851 and improvements to the course, attendances increased again: race-meetings continue to be held.149

While development of Brighton and Hove as a resort in the 18th and 19th centuries was essential to revitalization and growth of the decayed fishing town, the economy soon diversified so that the town ceased to become solely dependent on its visitors and the trade they engendered. This diversification manifested itself in the development of industry and of an expanding resident professional class employed in the town and in London. In both cases the role of the railway was critical. In the case of industry the relationship was especially direct since in the late 1840s the London Brighton and South Coast Railway (LBSCR) decided to expand the railway workshops at Brighton, with the first Brighton-built locomotives produced in 1852, even before the new workshops opened (1854). In 1851 LBSCR employees at Brighton numbered around 600, and by 1861 this appears to have doubled, making this the most substantial industry in the town, drawing skilled metalworkers from other parts of the country, and spawning its own working class district immediately east and south of the station and workshops.150 The works closed in 1958.

Whilst the fishing industry at Brighton never regained the importance to the economy that it had had until the emergence of the resort, it continued during the 19th century and much of the 20th century. In 1862 there was a fleet of 150 boats, dropping to 88 boats in 1902 and to 48 boats in 1948.151 The traditional beach-based industry finally disappeared in the late 20th century (with the fish market in the King’s Road Arches relocated to the municipal market in Circus Street in 1960: this closed in 2004 and moved to Crowhurst Corner, Hollingbury). A modest fishing industry now operates from Aldrington basin.

Although increasingly marginal to the economy of the town, agriculture continued to be catered for in the daily market (see section 3.4.3), and in the specialized wholesale markets. The former riding school of the Prince of Wales was purchased by the town in 1850 and in 1868 became the corn exchange, replacing the King and Queen Inn. A corn market was held there until 1914. A permanent meat market was established in 1912 in the former Church of the Resurrection in Russell Street, relocating in 1968 to a new meat market built next to the pre-existing abattoir in Hollingdean Lane. A cattle market was established in 1831 on Church Hill, but quickly failed.152

Although increasingly marginal to the economy of the town, agriculture continued to be catered for in the daily market (see section 3.4.3), and in the specialized wholesale markets. The former riding school of the Prince of Wales was purchased by the town in 1850 and in 1868 became the corn exchange, replacing the King and Queen Inn. A corn market was held there until 1914. A permanent meat market was established in 1912 in the former Church of the Resurrection in Russell Street, relocating in 1968 to a new meat market built next to the pre-existing abattoir in Hollingdean Lane. A cattle market was established in 1831 on Church Hill, but quickly failed.152

Fig. 14. Madeira Terrace, with lift opposite Marine Square.

Fig. 15. Relict of former heavy industry in Station Street.
The range of manufacturing industries in Brighton and Hove increased during the 20th century. Early examples of diversity include two large diamond factories that were opened at the junction of Coombe Road and Lewes Road in 1917 and 1918. The development of Hollingbury industrial estate from 1950, initially with a concentration of engineering factories, is more typical of the scale and nature of modern industry in the town. By 1951, 40% of the manufacturing jobs in Sussex were located within the borough. Whilst lacking large-scale employers in the form of heavy industries (such as automotive works), the town has become a focus for large-scale service industries. The most notable example of this is Amex House, Edward Street, built in 1977 for the American Express Corporation, Brighton’s largest private-sector employer, to house 1800 workers.

Notwithstanding the maturation and diversification of the economy of the town, Brighton and Hove has continued to be a successful resort. Earlier development of the seashore has continued in the 20th century. East of Palace Pier, the present amusement park developed from Madeira Lawns after the Second World War, and Black Rock swimming pool opened in 1936. Although the latter closed in 1978, this was not the result of contraction of the long commercialized seaside frontage at Brighton and Hove, but rather a sign of expansion in the form of Brighton Marina. This was approved by an Act of Parliament in 1968, and was designed to be much more than a yacht basin: a full range of retail, recreational and conference facilities was planned. Construction began in 1971 and the harbour was completed in 1976. Although the original scheme was not realized through lack of funding, subsequent development of the marina has created something very near to it, with a cinema, sports centre, superstore, and a marina ‘village’ of houses and shops, in addition to the pontoon berths of the tidal and locked basins.

In the more central seashore area the major post-war hotels comprise the Bedford Hotel (1967) and the Ramada Renaissance Hotel (now The Brighton Thistle Hotel, immediately south of Bartholomew Square, 1984-7). Municipal schemes have also sought to maintain and develop Brighton’s success as a resort. Redevelopment of the area bounded by West Street, King’s Road, Cannon Place and Western Road, was planned from 1935 as the new retail and entertainment centre of the town. Clearance began in 1938 and resumed in 1957-8 (the Grand Hotel narrowly avoiding compulsory purchase and demolition). The Top Rank Centre (Kingswest) and Russell car-park opened in 1965, followed by the shops, car-parks and offices of Churchill Square in 1967-8. The contribution of Churchill Square to the success of the resort is questionable, but the final element of the municipal scheme – the Brighton Centre (1974-7) – has helped the town meet and stimulate a demand for the conference trade. This variant on the earlier functions of seaside resorts was first seen at Brighton in the mid-19th century (and the first party-political conference in the town dates from that of the Tory party in 1875), but is substantially a post-World War II development.

Suburban expansion of Brighton continued to be a key economic theme in this period. In 1820, Thomas Read Kemp began his large scheme of development on the eastern edge of Brighton – Kemp Town. The distance of the new suburb from the centre of the town meant that Kemp Town saw the first introduction of a (horse-drawn) bus route in the town, opening the day the railway came to Brighton (1840). Later, a branch railway was built to Kemp Town (1869, closed 1971: see section 2.3.3). Kemp Town was also connected to the new electric tramway system built in 1901-4: this 9.5 mile system
connected the Steine, Brighton station, the race course, and, principally, the northern suburbs. It closed in 1939.162

The demand for accessible seafront, however, pushed development westwards into the parish of Hove. Although Hove itself had been a medieval village of sufficient status to provide ships or mariners for royal service in the 14th century, it had even at this time suffered badly from inundation, with 150 acres of the parish lost to the sea between 1291 and 1340. After a minor post-medieval revival closely connected with the success of Brighton’s fishing industry in the late 16th and 17th centuries, Hove had declined so that the population in 1801 was only 101 and its church ruinous. In 1821 the population of Hove remained low (312), but thereafter grew rapidly (1,360 in 1831; 2,509 in 1841; 20,804 in 1881).163 Expansion of Brighton into Hove parish began with the development of Brunswick Town from 1824. Equipped with its own administration (the Brunswick Town Commissioners), the new suburb was highly fashionable. For example, in 1851 a remarkable 20% of its head of households had titles.164 Although the covered market of 1828 failed immediately,165 Brunswick Town, and Hove, went on to gain some independence from Brighton: uniquely amongst the medieval villages engulfed by Brighton, Hove gained borough status and built its own Town Hall (1882). This clear identity was certainly furthered by independent development of Hove village as a separate resort – in the form of Cliftonville – in the 1850s, before the expanding edge of Brighton reached it in the 1880s (with the building of the West Brighton Estate) and thereafter made the two boroughs, topographically at least, inseparable.

The northwards spread of the suburbs saw Preston absorbed by 1914, but the medieval Downland villages to the west of the town – Portslade, Hangleton, West Blatchington and Patcham – were only engulfed by Brighton and Hove after the Second World War. On the coast west of Hove (and indeed just west of Aldrington parish) a small maritime settlement was established in the late 19th century extending east from Copperas Gap. Known as Portslade-by-Sea (it was occupied the narrow coastal part of the parish of Portslade) it quickly became a residential area and expanded northwards to the Old Shoreham Road in the early years of the 20th century. By the late 1930s the eastwards (coastal) spread of Portslade-by-Sea had met the westwards expansion of Hove, in Aldrington parish (which appears to have lost its village – probably located at the mouth of the River Adur – to erosion, by the 17th century).166

Fig. 17. Brunswick Square, Hove.

Fig. 18. Portslade, one of five former Downland villages engulfed by 20th-century expansion of Brighton and Hove.
Although Portslade-by-Sea had expanded westwards to join Fishersgate (outside the boundary of modern Brighton and Hove) by the 1930s, it was only after the Second World War that further development blurred distinctions between coastal settlements by creating a continuous built-up coastal strip stretching from the cliffs on the east side of Brighton to the River Arun at Littlehampton. The area west of the western end of the Hove esplanade (the Lagoon), however, developed a different character from the general coastal suburbs, since the former mouth of the River Adur was redeveloped from 1851 as a new floating dock for Shoreham harbour: this physically extended the harbour eastwards into the modern area of Brighton and Hove by over 1km, and increased the overall length of (so-called) Shoreham harbour to 5.7km. On the shingle spit opposite Portslade-by-Sea the largest gasworks in Sussex was built (1874) followed by the Brighton Corporation electricity works in 1906. Just as coastal communications had been important in the creation of the 18th-century resort at Brighton, so too has Shoreham harbour (and its associated industries) proved an important element of the economy of Brighton and Hove, and the adjacent towns and county.

3.4.2 Church and religion

The period from 1820 has been remarkable for its building of new churches in Brighton and Hove. The parish of Brighton (St Nicholas) remained intact until 1873, by which point there were 19 Anglican churches and chapels: seven district parishes were then created, and St Peter’s became Brighton’s new parish church. Similar changes occurred in the surrounding parishes as they developed from sparsely populated areas into modern Brighton and Hove. The medieval church of St Andrew had remained the parish church of Hove despite its ruinous condition and annexation to Preston in 1531, and was rebuilt in 1836. Thereafter it remained the parish church until 1892 (being separated from Preston in 1879), by which time there were seven new Anglican churches and chapels: St Andrew’s then became a chapel of ease to the new parish church of All Saints, and by 1940 Hove parish had been divided into eight ecclesiastical parishes. The parish church of St Peter, West Blatchington, had long been derelict (see section 4.2.1) and, since 1744, its vicarage united with Brighton: it was restored in 1890 and its vicarage disunited from Brighton in 1941.
The parish church of St Nicholas, Aldrington, was likewise ruinous in the post-medieval period, and only rebuilt in 1876-8 (now St Leonard, reviving what appears to have been an earlier medieval dedication). It maintained its parish church status, although a new church of St Philip, New Church Road, was built nearby in 1894-5. The medieval church of St Peter, Preston, continued to function as the parish church, until succeeded in 1908 by St John the Evangelist, Preston Road (built 1902). By 1915, five independent parishes had been formed from the old parish of Preston. The medieval church of St Helen’s, Hangleton, continues as the parish church, although supplemented by St Richard, Egmont Road (1960-1). Portslade, with its more significant settlement, retains its medieval parish church of St Nicholas, as does Patcham (All Saints).

The building of new Anglican churches was marked by an initial flurry of activity in the 1820s, with the most sustained period of construction between 1850 and 1880. Thereafter new foundations declined, with a notable revival in the 1930s marking the great expansion of suburbs at this time. Although typically smaller buildings and sometimes more transient, nonconformist chapels founded during this period were more numerous (63 pre-1960 examples comparing to 47 Anglican for the same period). Again, an initial spate of foundations occurred (with five each in the 1820s and 1830s), with the most active period being from 1860-1900, with an average of almost 10 foundations per decade: however, there was no nonconformist revival linked to the inter-war suburban expansion. Nonconformist foundations were dominated by Baptists (13 churches and chapels) and Congregationalists-Presbyterians (10 chapels and churches). Roman Catholic foundations for the period number 14, with the only significant burst of activity in 1900-6, with five new foundations, four of which were convents. Congregations of all Christian denominations have declined significantly in the 20th century, with at least 34 churches and chapels demolished since 1945, and at least 15 other closures.

A permanent synagogue was built at 36-40 Devonshire Place in 1823, and enlarged with a school in 1836. Another, Brighton synagogue was built in 1875, in Middle Street, with 20th-century examples following in Hove at 79 Holland Road (1929-30, re-using a gymnasium of 1883), 6 Lansdowne Road (1938), 29 New Church Road (1958) and Palmeira Avenue (1966).
Brighton’s parish churchyard continued to expand, on the north side of Church Street in 1824 and on the west side of Dyke Road in 1841. In 1853 the much extended churchyard closed and a burial board was formed in 1856 for a new cemetery off the Lewes Road. This was extended in 1868, and in 1902 became Brighton Borough Cemetery. A portion was sold off in 1919 for use by the Jewish community.\(^{179}\)

The churchyard of St Andrew’s, Hove, was extended in 1860. This soon became inadequate, and a new cemetery was opened off the Old Shoreham Road in 1880. This was expanded in 1912 and 1923.\(^{180}\)

### 3.4.3 Urban institutions

The development of schools in Brighton and Hove is complex and can only be traced here in summary form. In Brighton, the National School relocated from Meeting House Lane to purpose-built premises in Church Street (the Central National School) in 1829. This survived, most recently as the Central Voluntary Primary School, until 1967 (demolished 1971). The Union Charity School in Middle Street (1807; see above) continued until demolished in 1973. The Brighton Proprietary Grammar and Commercial School opened in 1859, at 47 Grand Parade.

The school – then known as Brighton Grammar School – relocated to purpose-built premises in Buckingham Road, in 1868. Becoming Brighton, Hove and Sussex Grammar School, it moved again to a new site off Dyke Road: in 1975 this became Brighton, Hove and Sussex Sixth Form College.\(^{81}\) In Hove, a National School opened in Farman Street in 1834 (closed 1893).\(^{182}\) St Andrew’s Church of England school, George Street, Hove, was built in 1858, and moved to Haddington Close in 1977.\(^{183}\)

Brighton’s new school board (1870) aimed at delivering universal provision of elementary education (as required by the 1870 Education Act) to the rapidly expanding population. It took over several existing schools and resulted in new building: York Place (1870), Fairlight Place (1870; rebuilt 1931), Richmond Street (1873), Hanover Terrace (1873: now part of the College of Technology), Sussex Street (1874), Freshfield Place (1880), Preston Road (1880, closed 1937: now part of the College of Technology), Finsbury Road (now part of Brighton University), Ditchling Road (1890: now the Downs School), Elm Grove (1893), Stanford Road (1893) and St Luke’s Terrace (1903).\(^{184}\)

Hove gained a school board in 1877, again assuming control of existing schools and building new ones. The first new schools were the Ellen Street schools (1879; moved to a new site as Goldstone Junior in 1975), followed by East Hove Board School (1893; known popularly as the Davidgord School, this replaced the Farman Street National School, and relocated to Somerhill Road in 1988) and West Hove First and Middle Schools, Portland Road (1898).\(^{185}\)

As a county borough, Brighton became responsible for elementary, secondary and technical education under Balfour’s Education Act 1902 and an Education Committee for Brighton was formed in 1903. New schools opened under the county borough include: Loder Road (1910: closed 1924), Coombe Road (1912), Balfour Road (1924), Varndean High School (1926), Moulsecoomb Primary (1929), Moulsecoomb Junior (1930), Hertford Road (1931), Varndean boys’ secondary school (1931: became Varndean College) Whitehawk (1933: became Stanley Deason 1976), Whitehawk Junior (1934), Patcham Secondary (1937: became Patcham Fawcett 1966, Patcham Margaret Hardy 1969, and Patcham High 1989), Carden (1948), Bevendean (1950), Stanmer Secondary (1951: became Westcliff Grammar 1957, then Falmer High 1974), Coldean (1952), Dorothy Stringer (1955), St Joseph’s (1956), Westdene (1961), Carlton Hill (1963), Longhill (1963), and Middle Street (1974; replacing the
former Union Charity School, demolished 1973). Abolition of the county boroughs in 1974, following the Local Government Act 1972, saw East Sussex County Council become the local education authority for Brighton. After the Education Act 1902 the municipal borough of Hove passed secondary education to the county council, but initially retained responsibility for elementary education. New secondary schools opened under the county council include: Knoll Girls’ School (1931); Hove County School for Girls (1935; later became Hove Grammar School for Girls); Hove County School for Boys (1936; became Hove County Grammar School of Boys in 1947, and Hove Grammar School in 1957); Portslade Boys’ School (1940); Portslade Girls’ School (1940); Cardinal Newman School (1966; co-educational Roman Catholic comprehensive); Portslade Community College (1972; comprehensive formed by merger of Portslade Boys’ School and Portslade Girls’ School); Blatchington Mill School (1979; comprehensive formed in 1979 by merger of Hove Grammar School for Boys, Nevill County School (Mixed) and Knoll Boys’ School); Hove Park School (1979; mixed comprehensive formed by amalgamation of Hove Grammar School for Girls and Knoll Girls’ School). New elementary schools in Hove (and its suburbs that expanded into adjacent parishes) since 1902 include: St Andrew’s Infants, Portslade (1906; called St Peter’s from 1947); St Mary’s Roman Catholic Primary (1918); Knoll Infants (1931; moved to Stapley Road in 1956, and merged in 2001 with Goldstone Junior, becoming Goldstone Primary); Benfield Junior, Portslade, (1949); Hangleton Infants (1949); Cottesmore St Mary’s Roman Catholic Primary (1950); Hangleton Junior (1952); West Blatchington Middle School (1954); West Blatchington First School (1956); Mile Oak Primary, Portslade (1965); Peter Gladwin Primary (1974); and Somerhill Junior (1974).

In common with other fashionable seaside resorts, Brighton and Hove has a long a complex history of private schools, many of which were small and short-lived. Of these, Brighton College, a co-educational public school, is the most significant. It originated as Portland House boys’ boarding school in 1847, with the present site and the earliest of the buildings dating from 1848-9.

Higher education has a long history in the town. Brighton School of Art was founded in Palace Place in 1858, gaining a science department in 1874, and moving to Grand Parade in 1876. Under municipal control from 1892, the science department became a separate technical college in Richmond Terrace. Additional science teaching was offered by Brighton College of Technology, which opened in Lewes Road in 1963: in 1970 this combined with the art college to become Brighton Polytechnic (since 1992 Brighton University). Confusingly, in 1974 the Richmond Terrace technical college was renamed Brighton College of Technology. Although outside the built-up area of Brighton and Hove, the University of Sussex has been an important educational development for the town. It opened in temporary accommodation in Preston Road in 1961, with the campus at Falmer opening the following year.
North Street, then to Prince’s Street, and in 1869 to a purpose-built courthouse at the bottom of Church Street. This closed 1967 (when the new premises in Edward Street/John Street opened, housing magistrate, juvenile, coroner, county and quarter session courts) and is now a library store. The earlier watchmen were replaced by a professional police force in 1838 based at the town hall. This central station was replaced by the present one in John Street in 1965 (adjacent to the courts). The Brighton Police Force merged with other forces in 1968 to form the Sussex Constabulary, based at the former East Sussex Police Force headquarters at Lewes. Hove gained a magistrates court in 1904, based in the town hall. Purpose-built courts opened in 1971 in Holland Road, which, in 1992, became the crown court. Hove constables and watchmen were introduced in 1830, and retained its own force until it became part of the East Sussex Police in 1947.

In 1822 the workhouse in the Bartholomews was replaced by a new building located on Dyke Road between the church and (later) Leopold Road. The 1834 Poor Law Amendment Act left Brighton parish as its own union. Expanding population and increasing urban poverty, however, meant that the existing Brighton workhouse was soon insufficient and this was replaced in 1865-7 by a new workhouse on Elm Grove. This was provided with its own infirmary. The workhouse closed in 1930. There were no other workhouses in the area of modern Brighton and Hove either before or after the Poor Law Amendment Act: from 1835 the parishes of Portslade, Hangleton, Aldrington, West Blatchington, Patcham, Preston and Hove formed part of the Steyning Union (which was catered for from 1835 by the new union workhouse in Ham Road, Shoreham). Parishes to the east of Brighton fell into Newhaven Union from 1835, but there was no significant expansion of Greater Brighton into these parishes in the period during which workhouses were effective.

The Sussex General Infirmary of 1812 (see section 3.3.4), was succeeded in 1828 by the Sussex County Hospital and General Sea-Bathing Infirmary, in Eastern Road. In 1905 this became the Royal Sussex County Hospital, and has seen considerable expansion, absorbing the function of many of the specialist hospitals (see below). A second large hospital was created in 1935 when the former workhouse in Elm Grove (see above) became Brighton Municipal (from 1948, General) Hospital. Brighton and Hove also gained several specialist hospitals. The Sussex Maternity Hospital was founded in 1830 at 69 High Street, relocated c.1854 to 76 West Street and in 1922 to the former grammar school buildings in Buckingham Road: it closed in 1970. The Sussex Eye Hospital opened in 1832 in Boyce’s Street, moved to purpose-built premises at 104 Queen’s Road in 1846, and to the current building opposite the Royal Sussex County Hospital in 1935 (the Queen’s Road building being demolished c.1961). The Brighton (now Royal Alexandra) Hospital for Sick Children began in Western Road in 1868, relocated to the present site in Dyke Road in 1870-1, and was rebuilt in 1880-1. A smallpox sanatorium opened in Bevendean Road (north of Brighton cemetery) in 1881, was expanded in 1898 (when it became Brighton Borough Hospital), and closed to in-patients in 1989: only the lodges have survived residential redevelopment of the site. The Sussex Throat and Ear Hospital was established in 1879 in Granville Place, moved to Queen’s Road in 1882 and then to purpose-built premises in Church Street in 1897: it closed in 1986 and was demolished in 1988.

Fig. 25. The Royal Sussex County Hospital, Eastern Road, Brighton (1828).

A dispensary opened at 4 Farm Road, Hove in 1859, with a purpose-built replacement opening in Sackville Road in 1888. In 1918, this was renamed Hove Hospital, and was repeatedly extended, before closure in 1997. In 1998 Hove Ployclinic opened, followed by Mill View Hospital (1998) and the Nevill hospital (1999).
Foredown Hospital, Hove (1883-4) for infectious diseases, became a hospital for handicapped children 1972 (demolished 1988-9).208

A new market house was built in 1828-30 on the western side of Market Street (backing on to Black Lion Street). The site of the former market was then used for the building of the present town hall (1830-2). With the dissolving of the Brighton town commissioners, this was transferred to the new corporation in 1855. The market house was rebuilt in 1900-1, then closed in 1938 (mostly demolished 1940) following its replacement by the new municipal wholesale market in Circus Street in 1937.209

Sporting and leisure provision increased with the development of parks. Queen’s Park opened as a subscription park in 1824, becoming public in 1891-2.210 Hove Recreation Ground opened 1891, followed by nearby Hove Park in 1906, and St Ann’s Well Garden in 1908.211

The Level cricket ground was replaced in 1823 by the Hanover Ground on the adjacent Park Crescent. This was used by the new Sussex county club, formally established in 1839, which relocated to the Brunswick Ground in Hove (between later Third Avenue and Fourth Avenue) in 1848, then, when the lease ran out, moved to the present County Ground, Eaton Road, Hove, in 1872.212

The King Alfred Sports Centre was built as a swimming pool in 1938-9, immediately requisitioned by the Admiralty (as HMS King Alfred – hence its current name), and opened to the public in 1946.213

The Prince Regent Swimming Complex, north of the east end of Church Street, opened in 1981, replacing North Road pool (opened 1895).214

Brighton and Hove stadium, Nevill Road, opened for greyhound racing in 1928. This remains its main purpose, but the stadium has been used for many other sports and events.215

Professional football dates from 1898, with Brighton United, using the County Ground. This team disbanded after two seasons, but was immediately succeeded by an amateur team, which, from the 1901-2 season, became professional and adopted the name Brighton and Hove Albion. The Albion moved to the Goldstone Ground for the 1902-3 season, the ground having been first used the previous season (by Hove Football Club).216 In the 1996/7 season they played their last match at the Goldstone Ground, which had been sold, and are currently temporarily housed at Withdean Stadium.
4  THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF BRIGHTON & HOVE

4.1  The medieval town (Maps 5 and 6)

4.1.1  Buildings

Fig. 27. Church of St Nicholas, Brighton: 12th-century font.

The parish church of St Nicholas is the only building recognizably surviving from medieval Brighton, and even this was largely rebuilt in 1853. A Caen stone font of the early to mid-12th century is carved with scenes including the Last Supper, the Baptism of Christ, St Nicholas and the Ship, and another scene that appears to involve St Nicholas (possibly the confession of the innkeeper at Myra) but nothing visibly survives from the contemporary church. The 13th-century chancel has been almost entirely lost to the 19th-century rebuilding, but the nave arcades and the tower and chancel arches are 14th century. The wooden rood screen is also reputed to be of the 14th century, but, if so, has been very heavily restored in the 19th century. The medieval exterior of the church has been almost entirely lost, with the exception of the west window of the south aisle (reset) and the tower, both dating from the 14th century. To the south of the church lies a cross with an octagonal stepped base of sandstone. While the upper parts are evidently replacements, the base (including the socket-stone for the shaft) is substantially older and may well be medieval.

Nothing survives of the 12th-century chapel of St Bartholomew, although an old wall and graves discovered during building of the Market House in 1774 (i.e. the site now occupied by 9-10 Bartholomew's, to the rear of 1 and 2 Prince Albert Street) appear to mark the site: this is further confirmed by references to the chapel in title deeds of the properties. The graveyard appears to have extended further to the south as human burials were noted during construction of Town House (which largely functioned as a workhouse) in 1727 or during its expansion in 1733 (see section 3.2.4) and during digging of cellars of houses to the south.

4.1.2  Excavations

The absence of archaeological excavation in the old town means that it is difficult to determine whether medieval deposits and features have survived redevelopment from the 18th-century onwards. Excavation in 1978 at Market Street showed that levelling had removed the uppermost archaeological levels including remains of St Bartholomew's chapel and its graveyard. However, excavation at 6 Ship Street in 2002 found a well of possible medieval date.

4.1.3  Topographic analysis (Maps 5-6)

There has been little study of the topography of medieval Brighton. A common assumption has been that the medieval town was on the beach and only later moved to the cliff-top: Carder dates this shift to the 13th or 14th centuries and even suggests that the beach may have been the location of the parish church mentioned in Domesday Book. Although there was evident encroachment by the sea in the 13th and 14th centuries (and consequent reduction in the value of rectorial tithes: section 3.1.5) there is, however, no evidence for the transplanting of an earlier purely beach-based town. Indeed, the evidence is quite against it. The present church (on architectural grounds certainly in existence by the 13th century) lies outside the late medieval town and, thus, is hardly suggestive of relocation to accompany major settlement shift. The present location of the church, of course, is consistent with the small-scale (and non-urban) rural settlement of the area (within which there was a developing landing place) of 1086 (section 3.1.2). Moreover, we have seen (section 3.1.5) that the creation of a new dependent chapel of
St Bartholomew by 1147 is most likely to be coincident with the creation of a new town, within which it would have been located. Given that the 16th-century growth of Brighton saw considerable expansion of the lower town (section 3.2.1), it is most probable that the undercliff settlement at Brighton – even then dominated by less substantial cottages, hovels, workshops and huts directly related to the fishing industry – was at its peak during the late 16th and early 17th centuries. Medieval use of the undercliff is likely to have been similar, though smaller scale, and quite different from the more substantial urban tenements and institutions above the low cliff. It does remain possible, however, that the modest 11th-century trading place originated on, or partly on, the beach.

The encroachment of the sea is likely to have played a significant role in the development of the medieval town since the recorded loss of 40 acres between 1291 and 1340 represents 45m of erosion if averaged across the parish: another 40 acres was lost over the same period from Aldrington parish (93m on average), although it is not clear whether the 150 acres lost from Hove parish (a remarkable 305m on average) represents permanent loss. That coastal erosion was considerable in the 17th and 18th centuries (sections 3.2.1 and 3.3.1) shows the longevity of the problem, only halted by 19th-century and later sea defences. The starting point of the rapid erosion of the low cliff is unclear since the nature of the earlier medieval beach, and its protective role, is unknown. Thus the extent of the loss to the sea of the medieval town cannot be determined with any accuracy, but is likely to have been substantially greater than the 45m estimated from the losses between 1291 and 1340.

By the early post-medieval period urban institutions such as the Town House and market were located on a cramped site on the cliff top between Black Lion Street and Ship Street, suggesting that this was the northernmost remnant of a more expansive medieval market place.

To the north-east of this lay the 12th-century chapel of St Bartholomew. The width and northern limit of the boundary of the 2½ acres of ‘the Bartholomews’ with which the chapel was endowed (see section 3.1.5) have been reasonably inferred from tenement histories and fragments of surviving walls.231 This would suggest that the southern boundary was the same as the southern edge of modern Bartholomew Square.

A third open area in the medieval town is probably represented by the Hempshares, which appears to have been the location for a rope-walk or, even, the growing of hemp. In the 17th century this was located in the area now bounded by between Middle Street, Meeting House Lane, Duke Street and the Hippodrome (i.e. north of Boyce’s Lane, which lies west of the site).232

The street pattern of the medieval town is uncertain. The bird’s-eye view showing the attack of 1514 confirms the broadly square form of the town, with the parish church sitting just beyond to the north-west. A built up North Street is implied, but it is placing too much reliance on a schematic representation to infer from the depiction of five rows of north-south houses, that East Street, West Street and one intermediate street were fully developed. What it does show, however, is that the north-south component of the gridded town plan was well developed. Although thoroughfares have evidently been created in the post-medieval period through the previously open areas of the Hempshares (i.e. the northern part of Ship Street) and St Bartholomews (i.e. part of Market Street, Bartholomews and Prince Albert Street), it is likely that the main gridded street pattern is medieval rather than, as has been suggested, to a large degree a post-medieval creation.
4.2 The medieval villages

4.2.1 Buildings

The 18th-century and, especially, later suburbs of Brighton and Hove have engulfed earlier rural settlements, or villages. Several medieval buildings have survived late and post-medieval decline and the more recent suburbanization, including seven parish churches.

The church of St Helen, Hangleton retains a simple nave of flint-rubble laid in herringbone courses, together with very small semicircular headed windows and primary doorways. The combination of these features suggests a date of the very late 11th century or the early 12th century. The use of Quarr stone in the ashlar of the nave (e.g. in quoins and voussoirs of the south doorway) corroborates this dating as the stone was almost never used outside the immediate vicinity of the Isle of Wight and Hampshire after the early 12th century. It is perhaps significant that c.1093 the church was granted to Lewes Priory, where Quarr stone was employed extensively. The west tower is an addition of the 13th century and the chancel a replacement of the 14th century.

Fig. 29. St Helen's, Hangleton: south wall of nave.

The exterior of the medieval walls of All Saints’, Patcham is almost entirely hidden by Roman cement (which bears no resemblance to any medieval render). However, the building is evidently earlier than the only in situ medieval features that are visible on exterior – the 13th and 14th-century windows that light the tower, nave and chancel. The plain semicircular arches of the chancel arch (surmounted by a 13th-century ‘Doom’ painting, uncovered in the late 19th century) and the north doorway to the nave (relocated to the north wall of the new north aisle of 1898) are both of c.1100: again, the use of Quarr stone corroborates a pre-c.1125 date, and here too the supply of this ashlar may be connected to the church being held by Lewes Priory by 1091.

The church of St Nicolas, Portslade dates from the 12th century. The most obvious Romanesque detail is the southern arcade of the nave that has piers probably dating from the 1160s with scalloped and, in the easternmost example, drilled capitals. The flint-rubble southern, eastern and western walls are essentially of the same period, albeit with later windows. The arcade was copied on the north side in 1874, when a wide north aisle was added. The chancel, with its stepped sedilia and adjacent piscina, dates from the 13th century. The west tower was begun c.1200 and completed in the 14th century.

Fig. 30. All Saints’, Patcham: chancel arch of c.1100, with 13th-century Doom painting over.

Fig. 29. St Helen’s, Hangleton: south wall of nave.
The church of St Peter, West Blatchington, was ruinous from the late 17th century and only re-roofed and restored in 1890, yet preserves parts of the medieval building as the south aisle to the present main body of the church (built in 1960). The most obvious early feature is the west wall of the former nave with its two small 12th-century windows. These are set lower than, and are of quite different character to, two blocked windows in the south wall. The south windows had no ashlar quoins and were almost certainly of double-splayed form. Although such windows continued to be built in even the most prestigious buildings into the 12th century,239 the fact that the western window appears to have abutted, and was presumably rendered redundant by, a Romanesque doorway (visible as semicircular blocking above the 15th-century nave doorway) indicates that there are at least two phases of early work.

Small-scale sondages of footings west of the nave that had been exposed during the 1890 restoration suggested that a 12th-century church replaced a Saxon church (with a west doorway), with the present west wall marking the end of the shortened nave, and with the south and north walls being re-used.240 However, there are significant weaknesses in the excavator’s
analysis of the standing building, most especially in regard to the conclusion that the retained lengths of the Saxon walls were thickened by the addition of a 6" (150mm) flint-rubble skin on the exterior (structurally implausible, and conflicting with the evidence for blocked openings of the earlier phase), by the assumption that there was no chancel in the earliest period (additionally undermined by comparison to St Botolph’s, West Sussex, where the late 11th-century nave and the western part of the chancel are coeval), and by adherence to the no longer tenable idea that Saxon and Norman work can be sharply differentiated. In the absence of a more detailed study involving removal of plaster and mortar, and further excavation, it remains certain only that the 12th-century west wall represents a westwards shortening of an earlier nave of Saxon-Norman character. The form of the eastern end of the church in either of these earlier phases is unclear.

The church of St Nicholas, Aldrington had a similar fate to that of West Blatchington, being ruinous in the 17th century (and neglected at the least in the late 16th century), and not being re-roofed and restored until 1878. In 1936, this rebuilt church became the south aisle of an expanded church, with consequent removal of the north wall. The amount of medieval fabric that survived such neglect and rebuilding is minimal, and is mainly confined to the lower parts of the east, south and, especially, the tower walls.

The church of St Andrew, Hove (Fig. 20) was also ruinous in the post-medieval period, losing its chancel by 1724 and, following further decay (including the loss of its aisles and tower), restored in 1836. The only element of the medieval church to survive the restoration consists of the four eastern bays of the nave arcades, of the 13th century: the present western bay replaces one that had been lost by c.1800.

Few secular medieval buildings survive from the villages adjacent to these medieval churches. By far the most notable survival is Portslade Old Manor House. Although ruinous from the early 19th century, this includes the remains of a two-storey building dating from the 12th century. This had a functional timber-ceiled ground floor (lit by narrow splayed windows) and a much more sophisticated first floor (lit by more elaborate two-light windows). Such buildings were until recently considered to be self-contained ‘first-floor halls’, whereas – as demonstrated recently at the archetype of Boothby Pagnell and elsewhere in England and Normandy – these chamber-blocks were usually located adjacent to large ground-floor halls.

The chamber-block can be dated to the early to mid-12th century on the basis of the scalloped capital and the rear arch (with its roll and hollow mouldings) of the eastern window, with the use of Quarr stone for the inner (primary) part of the ground-floor doorway perhaps suggesting origins earlier in the period.

While reputedly incorporating the remains of a 13th-century stone house, Preston Manor appears to date from the late 16th-century and,
especially, the 18th century. The putative medieval doorway (roundheaded and without impost) opening on to the ‘cross-passage’ appears late 16th-century, albeit more simply functional than the intact (formerly external) doorway to the south (Fig. 45).

Little identifiably medieval fabric survives at Hangleton Manor House other than a 15th-century doorway in the west (now subsidiary) wing: this could suggest that the west wing represents the late medieval manor house: rebuilding in the mid-16th century included the substantial east wing – since then, the main residence (now a public house).

West Blatchington Manor House does not survive, but was the subject of a watching brief during demolition in 1955. This showed that the flint-rubble building (which stood north-east of the mill and south-east of the church) had north and south wings probably dating from the 14th and 15th centuries respectively.246

4.2.2 Excavations

The expansion of Brighton and Hove has engulfed numerous shrunken or deserted medieval villages. Much of this process (as in the case of Hove) predates the development of modern archaeological techniques and the appreciation of the value of such archaeological sites. Uniquely, the village of Aldrington appears to have been lost to coastal erosion (leaving the church isolated). Elsewhere, the surviving settlement was sufficiently substantial for the new suburbs to leave the earlier village apparently intact (though this ignored the potential archaeology arising from historic settlement shift and shrinkage, and the impact of infill development).

Only two obviously almost entirely deserted villages survived into the post-war period, at Hangleton and West Blatchington. The latter appears to have engendered no archaeological interest, with the few surviving cottages, one possibly medieval, north of the church being swept away by development. By contrast, the area east of Hangleton church had been identified as early as the 1879 Ordnance Survey map as the site of the medieval village, and was excavated in advance of housing development in 1952-4.247

The excavations by Eric Holden and by the Ministry of Works revealed numerous buildings and trackways in the area extending north-eastwards from the church to Stonecroft Close. The buildings did not represent the Domesday village (although Warne has pointed out that the Wealden parts of the Hangleton estate would have made this far less substantial than Domesday Book might appear to suggest248), but rather occupation from the late 12th century, with a heyday from c.1250-1300 followed by decline in the 14th century: Holden identified the Black Death of 1348 as ‘the culminating blow to an already impoverished village from which it never fully recovered’.249

4.3 The town c.1540-1800

Fig. 36. Cricketers’ Arms, Black Lion Street: 17th-century building, with bow-fronted façade added in 1824.

4.3.1 Buildings

The historic core of Brighton (i.e. its extent c.1800) has 84 surviving buildings, or groups of buildings, that date from between 1540 and 1800: none from the 16th century, one from the 17th century, and 83 from the 18th century. Almost all the 18th-century buildings are from the second half of the century. While the dearth of buildings dating from before the mid-18th century reflects the re-invention of the fishing town as a seaside resort, the late 16th to mid-17th-century fishing-based prosperity and expansion of the town almost inevitably would have generated a wave of house building.
Remains of houses from this period – and indeed earlier – may well survive in houses subsequently remodelled and re-fronted to meet the demands of the resort. The cellars of the Black Lion may be one such survival (if, indeed, they are of 16th-century date). Several buildings in The Lanes are suggestive of an early date, but, as in the case of the jettied and weather-boarded house at 43 Meeting House Lane a pre-18th-century date has yet to be demonstrated.

The pre-resort buildings include the Cricketer’s Arms, Black Lion Street (before 1790, the ‘Last and Fishcart’), but even this has been extended with a cobble-built wing to the north, and re-fronted with a typical bow-fronted façade in 1824.\textsuperscript{250} 20-3 Church Street (and including 27 King Street) have been listed as possibly late 17th century,\textsuperscript{261} but are evidently late 18th-century in date (and, indeed, are not shown on the 1779 Yeakell and Gardner plan).

Whilst most of the early resort developments – such as the Castle Inn – have been lost, a few examples survive. Public spaces used in the fashionable season are represented by the restored interior of the Old Ship assembly rooms (built in 1767: Grade II*). Of the early substantial townhouses, Marlborough House, 54 The Old Steine (Grade I) is the best example: initially built c.1765, this was largely rebuilt by Robert Adam in 1786-7.\textsuperscript{252} The typical Neo-Classical villa style of Adam – with its wide low frontage – contrasts with the more typical tall townhouses on narrow plots of the adapted fishing town or, especially, the new suburbs. At exactly the same time, Henry Holland began remodelling a nearby lodging house for the Prince of Wales, creating a still more substantial Pavilion: although substantially remodelled in the 19th century (see below, section 4.5.1), Holland’s design of bow-fronted wings flanking a domed rotunda still forms the core of the structure today. The Old Ship assembly rooms, 73 Ship Street, preserves a remarkable interior by Robert Golden surviving from 1767, and the most evocative survival of the early Brighton ‘season’.

Although the old town initially accommodated the needs of the resort, and consequently saw considerable adaptation, widespread re-fronting and rebuilding of houses c.1800-40 (and later redevelopment) means that comparatively few visible and clearly datable examples of 18th-century townhouses survive in the historic core: Brighton, for all its 18th-century revitalization, has...
far fewer houses identifiably of this period than
does the centre of nearby Lewes. Nonetheless,
there are largely intact examples of 18th-century
in the historic core of Brighton, such as brick-
built 16 and 17 Ship Street; and wide-fronted 15
Prince Albert Street.

The earliest (i.e. pre-1800) suburbs have proved
equally vulnerable to re-fronting and rebuilding.
For example, the terrace at 6-12 Old Steine
dates from 1786, but all except No. 10 have
been refaced in the early 19th century. At the
south end of the Old Steine No. 44 represents a
terrace of three bay-windowed 18th-century
houses, but even here there has been much
rebuilding (in the late 20th century). Nearby, 9
Pool Valley also dates from the late 18th
century and again has bay windows (although here only
at first and second-floor levels). Considerably
further east, Royal Crescent shows a more
developed use of similar materials and form, with
construction beginning in 1798-9 (Fig. 10). But,
of course, the Royal Crescent is more notable
for other reasons: it represents the first
appearance in Brighton of the newly fashionable
crescent (which began with the Royal Crescent,
Bath, 1767-75), albeit here stripped of even a
token classical façade; and, significantly, faces
the sea, presaging the early 19th-century shift in
focus away from the hitherto fashionable Steine.

Two other features of the Royal Crescent – the
bow and bay windows, and the first-floor
veranda – had been more famously exploited
earlier in the first building of the Prince of
Wales’s Pavilion by Henry Holland (1786-8).
Both features were to become popular themes in the
townhouses of Brighton (and later Hove) from around c.1800, although it was the curved
bow window that came to dominate rather than
the canted bay window. Doubtless 18th-century
townhouses already provided with bow or bay
windows were less susceptible to re-fronting
after c.1800.

Due to the lack of early examples in Brighton,
materials in use during the period 1540-1800
can only be considered for the 18th century,
even though timber frame, flint, cobble and brick all played a role in any 16th
and 17th-century building. These materials
continued to be employed in the 18th century.
Timber frame was utilized in modest buildings in
the densely packed Lanes (e.g. 43 Meeting
House Lane: Fig. 37) and, in more stylish new
townhouses, in conjunction with a new material –
mathematical tiles. Of course, mathematical tiles
were not only suited for simulation of brick on
timber-framed buildings, as they were used for
re-facing masonry too: a notable early example
was Henry Holland’s 1786-8 re-facing, in
limestone-coloured mathematical tiles, of the
lodging which became part of the Royal Pavilion.
The seven buildings, or groups of buildings, that
are surviving examples of late 18th-century
usage of mathematical tiles include 44 Old Steine (Fig. 39), Royal Crescent (Fig. 10), 9 Pool Valley and 10 Manchester Street, all of which use black glazed tiles. Flint cobbles were used with brick quoins and dressings, as at 8 Bartholomews (now painted black with pitch) and 9 Brighton Place (the Druid's Head), both dating from the late 18th century, albeit modified. Flint was also combined with stucco dressings, as at 34 Camelford Street, again in the late 18th century.

Fig. 41. Meeting House Lane: probably an early post-medieval east-west passageway later forming part of the network known as the Lanes.

4.3.2 Excavations

The extremely limited extent of archaeological excavation within the historic core of Brighton means that very little has been recovered from this period. A rare find of a cannon recovered from the shingle beach in front of the Norfolk Hotel in 1993 during excavations for sewage works: this may originate from the 18th-century coastal defences.

4.3.3 Topography (Maps 7-9)

It is difficult to identify with certainty any individual features of the topography of the town resulting from the heyday of the fishing industry in Brighton in the later 16th and early 17th centuries. Although the undercliff development of fishing-related cottages, hovels, workshops and huts was at its peak during this period, no trace of this survives or, indeed, survived into the period of accurate large-scale mapping, as the last remnants were swept away in 1705 (see section 3.2.1). With the boom in the fishing industry reflected in a population increase from around 900 in 1565 to c.4000 in 1657, it is highly probable that the 16th and 17th centuries saw further development of the medieval gridded street plan and an increase in the density of housing: infilling of the northern part of the town, including encroachment on to the open Hempshares is likely, although not clearly demonstrable. It is evident that the narrow east-west lanes, or twittens, between the main streets (e.g. Ship Street Gardens [formerly Middle Street Lane], Black Lion Lane and Meeting House Lane [formerly Market Lane]) allowed development of modest housing behind the existing street frontages. Whilst, in the absence of sufficient documentary evidence or survival of pre-18th-century buildings, it is difficult to find conclusive evidence for such development, it is certainly more probable that the small-scale and densely packed houses off such passageways were a creation of the fishing-related expansion than the early phase of resort development. Certainly, such accommodation is consistent with post-medieval development in other fishing towns. Moreover, these lanes were in existence at the time of Yeakell and Gardner’s 1779 map of Brighton (the earliest accurate large-scale plan of the town: Fig. 64), at which point the population was only around 75% of its 17th-century peak. The 1779 map, however, does show the area now known as the Lanes only partly developed, with the area of Brighton Square and northwards as unbuilt. Likewise, some of the main streets (such as Black Lion Street and Duke Street) were not entirely built up at this time: by 1800, these areas had been infilled, the remaining area of the Lanes developed, and other new back lanes created to allow more densely packed housing at the rear of existing plots (e.g. Duke’s Court/Lewis’s Buildings). Evidently this cramped accommodation was not suitable for the social elite, and was utilized by the expanding service class necessary to support the resort.

New development in the second half of the 18th century outside the extent of the old town evidently had a considerable impact on the topography of Brighton. As we have seen (section 3.3.1), the initial focus for new building, from the 1740s, was on the Steine. Rather than simply representing a suburb, this turned a
peripheral piece of common land into the focal point of the resort: a place roomy enough both for society to promenade in a picturesque semi-rural location adjacent to the sea-front, and for construction of grander houses (sections 3.3.1 and 4.3.1).

With the beginning of development of eastern and northern suburbs on Little, East and North Laines in the late 18th century, the Steine lost its rural aspect, but became all the more the focus of the season: this was further accentuated by the linear development of the Steine (as the Parade and North Steine: now Victoria Gardens) along the increasingly important road to Lewes and London, and the construction of the barracks at the rear of Marlborough Place. In short, by 1800 Brighton had developed an extremely broad promenade on the line of the principal route, flanked by the most stylish new buildings and key resort facilities, and leading straight to the most accessible part of the seafront. Existing and new east-west routes (such as North Street, St James’s Street and Marine Parade) connected directly to the Steine.

As we have seen (section 3.3.1) the early suburbs of the seaside resort were developed from c.1780 on farmland, comprising open fields (or laines) that were divided into furlongs and, further still, into narrow ‘paulpieces’. In the absence of enclosure of this land, development of the farmland was piecemeal and ensured that the layout of furlongs and paulpieces was imprinted on the new suburbs. Thus, the development of Little Laine, immediately east of the Steine, was based on three main east-west streets (Marine Parade, St James’s Street and Edward Street) that follow the boundaries of its two furlongs (Cliff Furlong and Upper Furlong): these boundaries were already marked by trackways (or ‘leak ways’). The subsidiary north-south streets mark the orientation and pattern of the smaller paulpieces: blocks acquired for the speculative building of townhouses, and these subsidiary roads, typically combined two to five adjacent paulpieces. Exceptions to the pattern do occur, such as Royal Crescent (begun 1798), built across paulpieces in one of the few pre-1800 developments in East Laine, so as to face the sea. Development north of Church Street, on North Laine, followed a similar pattern and orientation to that on Little Laine. Development began in 1771 on the Steine frontage (North Row, later Marlborough Place), and then gradually extended over First Furlong in the late 18th century and into the early 19th century: here, however, the housing was more modest and expanded into areas that had recently been colonized by paddocks, stables and gardens that had already been pushed northwards by development of workers housing and workshops south of the common fields, between North Street and Church Street (from the late 1770s, including densely packed tenements such as Salmon Court, Mulberry Square, Durham and Petty France, many of which were later identified as slums and cleared). Pre-1800 development of farmland north of North Road and Edward Street was largely limited to the (extended) Steine frontage.

Fig. 42. Pavilion Parade: built c.1790 (though largely rebuilt or re-faced in the 19th century) facing the Royal Pavilion.

Fig. 43. Marine Parade: developed along the seafront boundary of Cliff Furlong in Little Laine.
Although the old town had also developed on a gridded layout, that established by the new suburbs is noticeably different. More significantly, the development of suburbs changed the focus of the town, not only reinforcing the importance of the Steine, but also bringing North Street into a more central position: from the 1780s North Street became the principal shopping street of the town.

Significantly for the future of the town, the seafront of the densely built-up part of the town had more than doubled to 1.2km by 1800 from its 1740 extent. With spacious villas strung along the coastal parts of West Laine and sporadic development, such as Royal Crescent, in East Laine, the total length of the seafront in 1800 extended to 2.3km.

4.4 The villages c.1540-1800

4.4.1 Buildings

Post-medieval decline means that there was no expansion between 1540 and 1800 of the villages later absorbed by Brighton and Hove. Nothing survives from post-medieval Aldrington, lost to erosion by the early 17th century. West Blatchington was extremely impoverished with the church in ruins in the 17th century, and no buildings survive from this period. Hove had a cluster of buildings c.1800 along what is Hove Street, but nothing appears to survive from this period. Hangleton never recovered from its 14th-century decline, but does have post-medieval buildings. Although medieval fabric may survive (section 4.2.1), **Hangleton Manor House** was largely rebuilt in the mid-16th century, with the new east wing becoming the main house. A service or lodging range was built 20m to the north of the rebuilt manor house at the same time, later converted into cottages (now Rookery Cottage). To the south, a circular dovecote was added in the 17th century: built of flint, it has chalk nesting boxes. An 18th-century flint and brick barn survives 250m to the north-west, part of demolished Benfield Manor.

The better preserved villages of Patcham, Portslade and Preston together have 32 buildings, or groups of buildings, dating from 1540-1800, with the majority in Patcham. **Preston Manor** was evidently a substantial late 16th-century house, although features of this date are confined to the basement doorways, as the house was remodelled and enlarged in 1738. Likewise, **Patcham Place** has a probable 16th-century core, although the present external appearance is 18th century. Cottages of probable 16th-century origins survive opposite Patcham Place at 128-36 Old London Road and at 4-5 Church Hill, where late re-fronting hides timber-framing. Similar, probably 16th-century, cottages with later flint re-fronting survive at 65 and 67 High Street, Portslade: the adjacent former farmhouse (Kemps) is also reputed to be timber framed and of the same period.
The 17th century is represented in Preston by a former farmhouse in South Road (now The Old Cottage, Little Barn and Mulberry Cottage), although this has been re-fronted in the 18th century. A single building – the Stag’s Head, High Street – survives from the 17th century in Portslade, and this too has seen heavy remodelling. Patcham, however, has several 17th-century buildings, which include flint-rubble Patcham Court Farmhouse, together with its probably contemporary barn (converted) and dovecote, and timber-framed cottages (albeit re-fronted in flint) at 28 and 29 Church Hill.

Of Brighton’s villages, Patcham also preserves the largest cluster of 18th-century houses (13 buildings or groups of buildings), which includes several of the finer 18th-century houses. Southdown House, Old London Road, is a substantial flint and brick house dating from the early 18th century. This is adjoined by contemporary buildings on the south: the former stables of Southdown House (No. 49; largely rebuilt in the 20th century) and a row of flint and brick terraced cottages (Nos. 45, 45A and 47). Patcham Place (now a youth hostel) was remodelled in the mid-18th century, with black mathematical tiles, canted bay windows and a pediment. Mathematical tiles were also used at Wootton House, Old London Road, in the late 18th century. Amongst the 18th-century cottages in Patcham, the flint and brick terraces of The Square are particularly notable.

Fig. 48. The Square, Patcham: 18th-century terraced cottages.

Portslade has three groups of cottages dating from the 18th century (44-50 and 57-63 High Street, and 1-5 South Street), all of flint. Preston also has good examples of flint and cobble terraced housing from the 18th century at 19-27 North Road, and more substantial houses – again using flint – at 36 North Road and 199 Preston Road (the latter with knapped flint). Preston Manor was largely rebuilt and enlarged in 1738, although has been substantially modified in the 20th century. A flint well-house c.30m to the east also survives from the mid-18th century.

4.4.2 Excavations

Archaeological excavation within the villages later engulfed by Brighton and Hove has been extremely limited. The excavations at Hangleton found little evidence of post-medieval
occupation, consistent with the fact that, following decline in the 14\textsuperscript{th} century, the village did not recover.\footnote{255}

### 4.4.3 Topography

The topography of the villages now lying within the built-up area of Brighton and Hove remained largely unchanged during the period 1540-1800, with the obvious exception of the loss of Aldington to coastal erosion. The impact of pre-1800 turnpiking appears to have had little impact on the topography of the villages north of Brighton, although Preston and Patcham evidently benefited from being on the London-Brighton route via Cuckfield, turnpiked in 1770.\footnote{256}

### 4.5 Expansion: c.1800-2007 (Maps 1 and 3)

#### 4.5.1 Buildings and topography

The majority of the buildings, streets and other urban features of Brighton and Hove date from after 1800 as a result of the simple fact that the extent of the contiguous built-up area of the modern city (i.e. excluding detached areas such as Woodingdean and Rottingdean that fall within the present administrative area) is over 40 times larger than it was in 1800. In addition to suburban expansion, rebuilding within the centre of Brighton has also increased the number of buildings of this period. Rebuilding in the 19\textsuperscript{th} century not only swept away most of the pre-1740 buildings, but also replaced, or at the least re-fronted and remodelled, many of the late 18\textsuperscript{th}-century townhouses. Almost inevitably, many of these 19\textsuperscript{th}-century buildings in the town centre have been in turn replaced in the 20\textsuperscript{th} century: a similar fate has met many of the 19\textsuperscript{th}-century houses in the suburbs (including Hove).

Although building between and after the two world wars has been prolific it does not entirely dominate the modern city: only half the extent of the built-up area today dates from after 1914. Expansion was rapid from the beginning of the period, with, as we have seen (section 3.3.1), the population increased by 550% from 7,339 in 1801 to 40,634 in 1831, slowing thereafter during the economic downturn of the 1830s, until the arrival of the railway in 1841. The legacy of this late Georgian and Regency period is very evident in the modern townscape.

The impact of accelerating building and changing architectural fashion was felt on the pre-1800 built-up area, where both wholesale rebuilding and re-fronting occurred. Rebuilding was most evident where the street pattern was modified. For example, New Road was created in 1805 to replace the northern part of Great East Street blocked by the expanding Pavilion: most of the buildings lining the western side of the street date from this period (the colonnade first added in 1823), with bow-windowed Nos. 6 and 7 the least modified (Fig. 8). Another largely consistent area of early 19\textsuperscript{th}-century houses survives within the old town at 20-4 Prince Albert Street and 1-6 Bartholomew, together with the adjacent buildings of Market Street (Nos. 23-4 and 40-1). Elsewhere in the old town rebuilding and re-facing was more sporadic. Ship Street has particularly good surviving examples that include the wide double-fronted brick-built No. 7 (with bow-windows of mathematical tile); a pair of stuccoed terraced houses at No. 15 and Ship Street Chambers (with No. 15 losing the ground floor of its bow-window to a surviving late 19\textsuperscript{th}-century shopfront); Nos. 53-5 (with No. 53 also preserving a late 19\textsuperscript{th}-century shopfront that removed the lower part of the bow window); and No. 22 (re-facing of an earlier building to give a flat three-windowed range with flint cobbles and brick dressings). Other examples within the old town include 77 West Street (stucco with two bow windows) and 74-6 Middle Street (stuccoed 75-6 with full-height bow-windows).

Fig. 49. 7 Ship Street.
Perhaps surprisingly, however, more concerted (though not at all unified) rebuilding occurred in the areas only recently colonized by new suburbs. Thus, the uniform bow-windowed terrace of South Parade, on the east side of the Steine, had only been built in the 1780s, yet was rebuilt, or at the least re-faced, in the early 19th century. The motivation on this prime site appears to have been both pragmatism (Nos. 20-2 are substantially taller than their predecessors) and fashion (for example, No. 26 was rebuilt by Amon Henry Wilds c.1830 with abundant decoration; and all of the houses as re-designed had first-floor verandas). A similar process occurred nearby at late 18th-century Pavilion Parade (Fig. 42).

It is in the wholly new areas of the suburbs, however, that the impact of the late Georgian and Regency period was greatest. Here architecture developed as unified schemes first seen (excepting conventional smaller terraces) at Royal Crescent (begun 1798). Grand squares, open on their south side, became a feature of both the eastern and western seafronts: Bedford Square (1801-18) led the way, but does not have a unified approach to the façades ensured on later schemes either by covenants or by use of the same architect and builder throughout. The principal examples of such seafront squares comprise Regency Square (1817-28; Fig. 11), Marine Square (1823-5), Brunswick Square (1824-30; Fig. 17), and Sussex Square (façades completed 1827, many interiors finished later). Smaller and more conventional (i.e. four-sided) squares were also built back from the seafront, as at Clarence Square (c.1810-20; largely re-fronted), Russell Square (c.1820-5) and Norfolk Square (1820s). Terraces continued to be built after 1800, but on a grander scale than before, especially where facing the sea. Surviving examples can be found in the original extent of Kemp Town at Arundel Terrace (1823-8) and Chichester Terrace (1820s and 1830s, with final completion delayed until the 1850s). In Hove, Brunswick Terrace was built in 1824-30. Kemp Town and Brunswick Town also saw large-scale crescents on the seafront, at Lewes Crescent (façades completed 1827) and Adelaide Crescent (begun 1830) respectively. It is in this context of grandiose suburban development that the King’s Road opened in 1822, bypassing the old town on the seafront, and the link from this to Marine Parade opened in 1829: the focus for fashionable society had moved from the Steine to the seafront itself (section 3.4.1).
Many of the architectural forms found in these grand suburbs were employed before 1800, but were used subsequently on an unprecedented scale. For example, bow windows, which appeared in re-frontings and rebuildings in the old town with increasing frequency from c.1800 (see above), started to be used en masse in large-scale architectural compositions: good examples include Brunswick Square and Regency Square (Figs. 11 and 17). However, the large crescents, squares and terraces allowed use of more conventional unifying classical decorative schemes not seen previously in the town, though, obviously, a feature of large-scale street developments elsewhere for the previous century (e.g. Grosvenor Square, London, c.1725-35; or John Wood I’s works at Bath from 1725-54). Thus, applied orders of pilasters and columns make their appearance and, in their absence, implied orders still follow the Palladian scheme. It being the early 19th century, however, the Neo-Classicism of late Georgian and Regency Brighton and Hove is very much influenced by the Picturesque and, as would be expected, owes less to John Wood’s Bath than to, say, John Nash’s speculative-built London townhouses: for example, Busby and Wild’s Arundel Terrace, in Kemp Town, is very much redolent of Nash’s Chester Terrace (1825) and Cumberland Terrace (1827), both at Regent’s Park, London. It is in this context that a relaxed interpretation of classical orders was permissible, with Amon and Amon Henry Wilds’ humorous ammonite capitals, first used in 1810 at 166 High Street, Lewes (Gideon Mantell’s house), and thereafter occurring in Brighton (e.g. Oriental Place, begun 1825). Nowhere, however, is the taste for the exotic more evident than in the expansion and remodelling of the Royal Pavilion. William Porden’s stable-block (now the Dome theatre) of 1803-8 is in Indian style, while John Nash’s remodelling (1815-22) of the Royal Pavilion is more eclectic with the Indian elements (such as the domes) combined with Chinese and Gothic detailing.

Neo-Classicism was employed in early 19th-century churches: for example, at Christ Church (Unitarian), New Road (Amon Henry Wilds; 1820); St George’s, St George’s Road (Charles Busby; 1824-5); the Congregational chapel, Union Street (Amon Wilds and, possibly, Busby; 1825\(^{226}\)); and St John Evangelist, Carlton Hill (George Cheeseman; 1840). But new styles were adopted too, as at Charles Barry’s rather unhistorical Gothic Revival of St Peter’s, Victoria Gardens (1824-8) and his early essay in the Renaissance style at St Andrew, Waterloo Road (1827-8). At the new town hall (1830-2; Fig. 13),...
however, Thomas Cooper stuck firmly to the Greek Revival in line with prevailing (pre-Barry and Pugin’s Westminster) civic Classicism.

The arrival of the railway in Brighton in 1841 coincided with the end of the comparative lull in construction that had marked the 1830s. The station itself – by David Moccatta – brought a new architectural form to the town (the canopy over the road and the arched roof over the platforms were added in 1882-3259). Access to the station was soon improved by the creation of Queen’s Road (1845), removing housing to the north of North Street, but neatly continuing the line and alignment of medieval West Street. Although less directly the result of the arrival of the railway, post-1841 Brighton and Hove saw much more widespread building than before.

Initially, the suburbs continued the pattern established in the late 18th and early 19th centuries. The eastern suburbs continued to fill East Laine and Hilly Laine. Likewise the northern suburbs continued to fill North Laine, with the new streets between the station and London Road (in existence by c.1850) followed the curve of both laine and paul piece. The seafront suburban expansion in Hove after 1840 (i.e. west of Palmeira Square) was similarly shaped by earlier field boundaries – as in the case of the distinctive splayed plan of Osborne, Medina and Albany Villas. North of Church Road, however, expanding Hove paid less respect to the disappearing agricultural land as it expanded between 1840 and 1880. Brighton in this period began to expand beyond the former common fields, or laines, into the more open sheep down that did not enforce such rigid street patterns. In the north-west of the old parish, the sheep down of Church Hill thus allowed the great arc formed by the west side of the new station, the railway line to Portsmouth, and Terminus Road. To the west of this lies Montpelier Crescent (1843-7) and, as the clearest symbol of a break from the gridded street forms of medieval, post-medieval, and Georgian Brighton, the focus of multi-directional roads known as the Seven Dials. Sheep down to the north-east of the town provided similar scope for Park Crescent (1849) and, still larger, Round Hill Crescent (c.1865). The new railway lines east of the station (to Lewes in 1846 and to Kemp Town in 1869) introduced curves on a grander scale, but had little impact on the developing street pattern of the Round Hill area (due in part to the use of viaducts). The open nature of the area beyond the laines allowed for more than a freer street pattern: echoing the creation of Queen’s Park in 1824, it provided room for larger public facilities demanded by the large town, such as the workhouse at Elm Hill (1865-7) and the cemeteries off Dyke Road (1841) and at Bear Hill (1856, and later).

Although less directly the result of the arrival of the railway, post-1841 Brighton and Hove saw much more widespread building than before. With the completion of Prince Albert Street in 1842260 the old town achieved a street plan largely identical to that of today.

The new railway lines east of the station (to Lewes in 1846 and to Kemp Town in 1869) introduced curves on a grander scale, but had little impact on the developing street pattern of the Round Hill area (due in part to the use of viaducts). The open nature of the area beyond the laines allowed for more than a freer street pattern: echoing the creation of Queen’s Park in 1824, it provided room for larger public facilities demanded by the large town, such as the workhouse at Elm Hill (1865-7) and the cemeteries off Dyke Road (1841) and at Bear Hill (1856, and later).
Architectural form, style and materials used in the town after 1840 were more varied. While the bow window had been displaced from many of the more fashionable and large-scale seafront developments by grander Neo-Classical schemes, its increasingly popular variant – the canted bay window – returned en masse as housing construction accelerated. The still expanding seafront at Hove saw construction of the most substantial examples of terraced housing, such as 1-4 Adelaide Mansions, Kingsway, which combines multi-storey bays and verandas (1873). The near-contemporary terraced houses on adjacent First Avenue are only slightly less grand, while the new roads to the west (from Second Avenue to Medina Villas) developed in the late 1870s to 1890s with large detached and semi-detached villas. To the west and north more modest semi-detached and, especially, terraced housing dominated Hove.

Still denser terraced housing of the 1870s-90s survives in suburbs created by the expansion of Brighton northwards to the Lewes railway line: examples abound in the area between The Level and Queen's Park Road, while the comparable between London Road and the station (predominantly built to serve the workers of the railway works) has been largely demolished. Further north, the density of late 19th-century housing in Brighton is lower: while terraces are still a feature (such as at Chester Terrace), they are more substantial and mixed with streets of semi-detached houses and, even, detached villas (as at Preston Park Avenue). Densely packed terraced housing dominated the suburbs of 1900-14, as in the area east of Preston Barracks (with its new laid roads testaments to the period: Ladysmith Road, Kimberley Road and Mafeking Road), east of Preston village (e.g. Gordon Road and Balfour Road), in the continuing westwards march of Hove (towards Wish Barn) and the northwards expansion of Portslade-by-Sea.

The old town, Regency suburbs and the seafront remained far from untouched during this post-1840 expansion of Brighton and Hove. We have seen (section 3.4.1) that the change in the type of visitors brought by the cheaper and quicker railway consolidated the shift of the focus of the resort from the Steine to the seafront. Despite the transient nature of entertainment, monuments to this period of the seaside town survive. Whilst Eugenius Birch's remarkable West Pier (1863-6) is derelict, Palace Pier (1891-9) remains in use. Likewise, whilst Volk’s implausible Rottingdean Railway (1896) has long gone, his 1883 railway running east from the Aquarium (itself a relict of 1872) survives: remarkably survival includes rolling stock from the 1890s.
It is the seafront promenade, however, that is the chief monument to the 19th-century resort. With origins in the early 19th-century development of King’s Road and Grand Junction Road, the form of the promenade projecting seaward on arches, with its ten shelters and cast-iron railings dates from 1883-7. To the east of Palace Pier this continues still more remarkably as the two-storey cast-iron walkway (the lower arcaded, the upper open) of Madeira Terrace, with lift, café and waiting room (Philip Lockwood, 1890-7; Fig. 14).

East of Regency Square, two blocks of seafront terraced houses were replaced by much more substantial hotels, reflecting the changing demands of visitors. The Grand Hotel (1862-4; Fig. 16) had five lifts to serve its nine storeys (plus additional service storeys) and was part of a new wave of seaside hotel building: its architect John Whichcord had built the Clarence Hotel at Dover (1863, also equipped with lifts). This was followed, in 1888-90, by Alfred Waterhouse’s Metropole Hotel, over twice the size and, with its red brick and terracotta façade, in stark contrast to the cream-painted stucco of the earlier seafront buildings.

Back from the seafront, building after 1840 town included new churches – 11 of which were built at the instigation of Revd Henry Wagner and his son Revd Arthur Wagner – so that the architectural importance of Victorian Brighton and Hove is ecclesiastical as well as secular (despite a series of regrettable church demolitions as recently as the 1980s). Without doubt the most remarkable church is St Bartholomew, Ann Street (Edmund Scott, 1872-4) with its austere 135ft-high unaisled nave and Arts and Crafts decoration by Henry Wilson (1895-1910). Successful examples of cathedral-like scale use of 13th-century gothic survive at All Saints’, Eaton Road, Hove (IPearson; 1892); the nave and north aisle of St Michael, Victoria Road (Chapple, 1893, based on the earlier designs of Burges). Amongst new churches and convents (see section 3.4.2), Roman Catholicism brought equally soaring Early English style at St Joseph, Elm Grove (W. Kedo Broder; 1866-9). Within the old town itself is St Paul, West Street (R. C. Carpenter; 1846-8), with its eastern octagonal tower and spirelet on the streetfront (Fig. 21).
Pumping Station (1866), with its operational beam engine of 1872; and John Dudney’s brewery at Portslade (1881).

The extensive 20th-century suburbs of Brighton and Hove, with their increased provision of semi-detached housing, but also including blocks of flats, fall almost entirely outside the EUS study area. The development of council housing, however, is an important feature of this suburban expansion and merits a summary account.

Moulsecoomb was the corporation’s first large-scale estate, beginning with comparatively spacious South Moulsecoomb in the early 1920s, followed by more densely built North Moulsecoomb in 1926-30. This was extended with the building of the Bevendean estate in the early 1930s, and with the East Moulsecoomb estate from 1935. Construction continued in the late 1940s and 1950s with housing in the Halland Road area and the Bates estate.

Hollingbury housing estate on the north-western slope of Hollingbury is the largest post-war council estate in the borough, beginning in 1946 at Midhurst Rise and Petworth Road, and was completed in 1964. The council estate at Hollingdean was mostly built in the 1950s, with additions – including the tower blocks off Upper Hollingdean road – of the 1960s.263

While the expansion of Brighton and Hove has being the main theme in the topography and architecture of the town since 1800, it is evident that it is also a story of loss. Slum clearance has had perhaps the greatest impact. This began, almost incidentally, as early as 1845, with the construction of Queen’s Road and the necessary demolition of squalid housing in Durham Street, Petty France Street and part of Air Street. More deliberate municipal clearances date from 1876 north of Church Street, in the area east of Bread Street. Replacement of slums followed in the most densely packed areas north and south of Edward Street, with the creation of St James’s Avenue, White Street, Blaker Street and Tillstone Street (1889-98). More significant clearances began in the 1930s driven by government subsidy. The Albion Hill area (east of Grand parade and north of Edward Street) and the area to the east (north and south of Eastern Road) have been most affected as the degenerated stock of small terraced houses was targeted for municipal redevelopment: the war halted work, but heavy bombing in the area increased the scope and need for redevelopment. As well as demolition of terraced houses, the dense street plan was thinned out north of Edward Street, and the main east-west streets of Sussex Street and Richmond Street were severed as curved Ashton Rise/Grove Hill was imposed on the earlier gridded plan.
The Kingswest complex (Russell Diplock, 1965), marking the beginning of the Churchill Square development. The more substantial plots arising from the new street plan of the Albion Hill area allowed for large-scale public buildings. These include Milner Flats (the first corporation flats in Brighton, 1934), the municipal market (1937: closed 2004) and – all by the architect Percy Billington – the rebuilt College of Art (1965), the police station (John Street, 1965), and the court house (at Edward Street/John Street, 1967). The Eastern Road area redevelopment included five council tower blocks of the late 1960s and early 1970s. Other areas of slum clearance include the terrace housing (largely for railway workers) between the railway engineering works and goods yard, and London Road (beginning with Blackman Street, Whitecross Street and Wood Street, in 1962).

In addition to slum clearance, continuous redevelopment within the historic town has seen loss to large-scale redevelopment (such as the Churchill Square area) and, with equal effect, road widening schemes (such as that of the west side of West Street in 1928-38, and North Street in 1927-36 and the early 1960s) piecemeal redevelopment. The process is common to many towns, but the loss of particular examples at Brighton and Hove is noteworthy: for example, the demolition of St Margaret’s, Cannon Place (1959), and St Anne’s, Burlington Street (1986) is unfortunate, and symptomatic of a general failure to address the important ecclesiastical heritage of Brighton and Hove; the 1978 closure and subsequent demolition of the Black Rock lido meant the loss of the town’s principal Art Deco seafront building (as well as its much-valued open-air pool); the Black Lion Brewery was demolished in 1968 (despite its local reputation as the ‘oldest brewery in the world’), and replaced in 1974 by the present pastiche; and the Central National School, Church Street, was demolished in 1971 while a protection order was held up in a postal strike. Although not driven by urban redevelopment, the now almost total decay of the West Pier (undoubtedly, one of the most important piers in the country) has been perhaps the most publicly debated loss (Fig. 57).

In addition to slum clearance, continuous redevelopment within the historic town has seen loss to large-scale redevelopment (such as the Churchill Square area) and, with equal effect, road widening schemes (such as that of the west side of West Street in 1928-38, and North Street in 1927-36 and the early 1960s) piecemeal redevelopment. The process is common to many towns, but the loss of particular examples at Brighton and Hove is noteworthy: for example, the demolition of St Margaret’s, Cannon Place (1959), and St Anne’s, Burlington Street (1986) is unfortunate, and symptomatic of a general failure to address the important ecclesiastical heritage of Brighton and Hove; the 1978 closure and subsequent demolition of the Black Rock lido meant the loss of the town’s principal Art Deco seafront building (as well as its much-valued open-air pool); the Black Lion Brewery was demolished in 1968 (despite its local reputation as the ‘oldest brewery in the world’), and replaced in 1974 by the present pastiche; and the Central National School, Church Street, was demolished in 1971 while a protection order was held up in a postal strike. Although not driven by urban redevelopment, the now almost total decay of the West Pier (undoubtedly, one of the most important piers in the country) has been perhaps the most publicly debated loss (Fig. 57).

In the suburbs redevelopment of late 19th and early 20th-century houses – especially large detached villas – has occurred, typically with replacement by blocks of flats. This has been especially prevalent in Hove, where the seafront (Kingsway) in particular has offered scope for flats aimed at the retired population.

Despite the large losses to slum clearance and redevelopment, an increasing awareness of the value of the historic buildings and topography has played a role in shaping the town since the 1930s. For example, the small-scale post-war

![Fig. 62. The Kingswest complex (Russell Diplock, 1965), marking the beginning of the Churchill Square development.](image)

![Fig. 63. Kingsway, Hove: late 20th-century flats built on the site of early 20th-century villas.](image)
developments in the Lanes at Brighton Square (1966) and Dukes Lane (1979) reveal a changing approach to historic fabric: the mock-Regency style of the latter being an attempt to replicate the historic architecture that is increasingly valued by visitors to the town. Elsewhere, new buildings have attempted sympathetic references to Regency Brighton rather than complete pastiche: examples include 9 Castle Square and adjacent 45 East Street. There has also been an increasing focus on conserving the truly historic, as seen, for example, through the increasing number of conservation areas (and their expansion), which include both the obvious areas of late Georgian architecture and areas of more modest housing such as North Laine.

Fig. 64. Yeakell and Gardner’s map of Brighton (1779).
Fig. 65. J. Marchant’s map of Brighton (1808).

Fig. 66. Hove Tithe Map, 1839 (copy in East Sussex Record Office).
Fig. 67. Brighton Tithe Map, 1852 (copy in East Sussex Record Office).

Fig. 68. Portslade Tithe Map, 1840 (copy in East Sussex Record Office).
5 STATEMENT OF HISTORIC URBAN CHARACTER

5.1 Town summary

5.1.1 Historic environment overview
Although a significant fishing town – especially during the late 16th and early 17th centuries, when it was the most populous town in Sussex – Brighton went into decline and was only revitalized by its new function as a resort in the second half of the 18th century. Since then it has grown well beyond its early post-medieval extent, with the 19th and 20th-century suburbs engulfing the neighbouring medieval villages of Portslade, Hangleton, West Blatchington, Hove, Preston, and Patcham (Aldrington having been lost previously to erosion). Little survives in the way of pre-1740 buildings. While this is in part due to modern (post-war) development, much was lost to the rebuilding occasioned by development of the resort in the second half of the 18th century, and in the early 19th century. Fortunately much of Georgian and Regency Brighton and Hove survives, as later building tended to expand the boundaries of the town rather than completely rebuild it. Moreover, it is important to recognize that the wide range of Victorian and 20th-century buildings (including domestic, seaside and ecclesiastical), add much to the historic value of the Brighton and Hove. Less visible is the archaeological evidence of the pre-resort town, with its medieval origins. Unlike the archaeological sites located in the suburbs, the archaeological potential of the old town has not been realized through controlled excavation, although it is likely that much will have been lost to redevelopment from the 18th century onwards.

5.1.2 Historic environment designations (Map 4)
There are 325 listed buildings and monuments (or groups thereof – significant as whole terraces are often included in a single listing) in the EUS central study area (i.e. the pre-c.1800 extent of the town), with another 772 in the extended EUS study area of the pre-1914 suburbs, giving at total of 1,097. Of all these, 21 are Grade I, 52 are Grade II*, and 1,024 are Grade II. In terms of dating, 11 predate 1500; seven are 16th century; nine are 17th century; 114 are 18th century; 499 are from 1800-40; 253 are from 1841-1880; 170 are from 1881-1913; and 34 are from 1914-45. Just over 97.5% of the listed buildings and monuments (or groups) are of 18th-century or later date.269

There are 33 Conservation Areas in the modern administrative area of Brighton and Hove, although this includes examples outside the contiguous built-up area (e.g. Ovingdean). There are no Scheduled Monuments in the EUS study area, although the scheduled Neolithic causewayed enclosure of Whitehawk Camp lies immediately outside (and has been partly built on by late 20th-century housing), and Hollingbury hillfort (an Early Iron Age hillfort) is almost entirely surrounded by the 20th-century suburbs of Brighton and Hove.

5.1.3 Historic building materials
The dominance of any building material in the medieval and early post-medieval town is uncertain as there are no secular buildings from the old fishing town identifiably surviving from these periods. The church of St Nicholas (the one surviving medieval building of Brighton itself) is of flint rubble with ashlar dressings (and a Caen stone font), and similar materials were used in the parish churches later engulfed by the suburbs of Brighton and Hove, and in the high status medieval secular buildings (e.g. Portslade Manor House), and 16th and 17th-century village houses. However, timber-framed construction does survive from the 17th century in the villages (e.g. at 28 and 29 Church Hill, Patcham) and from the 18th century within Brighton itself (e.g. 43 Meeting House Lane) and it is likely that use of timber frame was widespread in the old town – and on the lower town beach settlement that served the fishing interest – in the medieval and early post-medieval periods.

Flint continued to be used – both knapped and, more typically, as beach cobbles (sometimes tarred on the exterior) – in the building of the seaside resort in the late 18th and 19th centuries, although it was increasingly obscured by stucco. The post-1750 architecture of the town saw a new material in the form of mathematical tile used both for re-fronting and for new builds. In parallel, use of brick expanded hugely, with brickfields opened on the edge of the expanding town, and, after the arrival of the railway, became the dominant building material of the new suburbs.
5.2 Historic Character Types

5.2.1 Historic Character Types and chronology (Maps 5-14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Character Types (HCTs) for Sussex EUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lane/road [includes all historic routes]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major road scheme [modern ring roads, motorways etc.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge/causeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular burgage plots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular historic plots [i.e. pre-1800]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proto-urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant [reverted from built-up to fields etc.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church/churchyard [i.e. parish]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious house [abbey, priory, convent etc.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fortification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barracks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School/college</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmstead/barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburb [estates and individual houses]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail and commercial [i.e. post-1800]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractive industry [e.g. sand pit, brickfield]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy industry [e.g. steel or automotive industry]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light industry [e.g. industrial estates]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quay/wharf [inc. boatyards]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour/marina/dock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station, sidings and track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market garden [inc. nursery]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allotments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports field [inc. stadia, courts, centres etc.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal parkland [e.g. small civic areas, large grounds]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seafort [piers, promenades etc.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach/cliffs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Sussex EUS Historic Character Types

Historic Character Types have been developed in the Sussex EUS to describe areas of common character by reference to generic types found across all 41 towns. Historic function is often the key determinant of character type, hence the term ‘Historic Character Types’ and the time-depth implicit in many of the types in Table 1 (e.g. regular burgage plots). The types also reflect the character of these towns, and, thus, they are different from those that would be applied nationally or to another county.

The Historic Character Types have been mapped to areas within the towns (polygons in the Geographical Information System that underpins the Sussex EUS). Whilst character type can prove consistent throughout a large area (for example, across a late 20th-century housing estate), different historic use of part of that area has been used as a basis for subdivision. This is to allow the application of the types in Table 1 to the mapped polygons throughout the 15 periods of the EUS chronology (Table 2). This means that for any area within the town, or mapped polygon on the Geographical Information System, both the present Historic Character Type and the past land use(s) are defined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period 1</td>
<td>500,000BC-AD42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 2</td>
<td>43-409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 3</td>
<td>410-949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 4</td>
<td>950-1065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 5</td>
<td>1066-1149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 6</td>
<td>1150-1349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 7</td>
<td>1350-1499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 8</td>
<td>1500-1599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 9</td>
<td>1600-1699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 10</td>
<td>1700-1799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 11</td>
<td>1800-1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 12</td>
<td>1841-1880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 13</td>
<td>1881-1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 14</td>
<td>1914-1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period 15</td>
<td>1946-present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Sussex EUS chronology

This approach gives time-depth to the map-based character component of the Sussex EUS, and is structured to take account of both upstanding and buried physical evidence of the past. It enables the generation of maps (e.g. Maps 5-12) showing the changing land use of the urban area throughout the history of each town, and, through use of the Geographical Information System developed as part of this assessment, for simple interrogation of any area in the town to show all its known past land uses.

5.2.2 Historic Character Types in Brighton and Hove (Maps 13-14)

Although Historic Character Types represent county-wide types, modern Brighton and Hove is characterized by its particular concentration of some types and the comparative rarity, or
absence, of others. For example, the identification of regular burgage plots reflects the medieval origins of the town, but the small proportion of this – compared to irregular historic plots – is a result of the modest extent of the medieval town and the considerable post-medieval reorganization and expansion.

Historic Character Types for Brighton and Hove are mapped only for the historic core, established by c.1800 (and typically much older).

5.3 Historic Urban Character Areas (Maps 15 and 16)

5.3.1 Defining Historic Urban Character Areas (HUCAs)

Whereas Historic Character Types have been applied to areas of the Sussex towns with consistent visible character and historical development – and are mapped across the whole history for each town – Historic Urban Character Areas (HUCAs) represent meaningful areas of the modern town. Although similar areas are found in many towns, HUCAs are unique, can include components of different history and antiquity, and usually represent amalgamation of several Historic Character Types.

Thus, HUCA 1 in Brighton and Hove combines four present day Historic Character Types that represent irregular historic plots dating from Period 6 (1150-1349) and later, a market place of Period 10 (18th-century) and public area of Period 11 (1800-40) that derive from a religious house of Period 5 (1066-1149), and a retail and commercial area that derives from Period 11 onwards. Combining this complexity into a single HUCA called Bartholomews reflects the largely coherent character of the area today. This coherence renders HUCAs suitable spatial units for describing the historic environment of the EUS towns, for assessing their archaeological potential, Historic Environment Value and for linking to research questions.

Some components of the towns are not included as HUCAs: roads (other than those that were built as part of a particular development) and waterways are kept separate as they frequently antedate surviving buildings or the known urban activity. Historic Urban Character Areas are mapped for the entire EUS study area in Brighton and Hove: i.e. across the c.1914 extent of the town.

5.3.2 Archaeological potential

Whilst the nature and extent of areas to which Historic Character Types have been applied is closely related to the survival of buried archaeology, this assessment considers the archaeological potential at the larger scale of the HUCAs. The reasons are twofold: first, the typically smaller scale of areas of common Historic Character Type could misleadingly imply that high, or even low, archaeological potential is precisely confined, or that archaeological value is exactly coterminal with the edge of specific features (standing or buried); and, second, most Sussex towns have had insufficient archaeological investigation to support this precision. For this reason, too, there is no grading or ranking of archaeological potential. Rather, the summary of archaeological potential is used to inform the overall (graded) assessment of Historic Environment Value of each HUCA (see below).

When considering the archaeological potential of the towns, it is important to recognize that archaeology often survives 18th, 19th and 20th-century development and that it is misleading to assume complete destruction. Also, whilst pre-urban archaeology (such as the prehistoric, Romano-British, and Anglo-Saxon features and finds that are likely to be located in the Brighton and Hove area) tells us little about the towns themselves, it contributes to wider archaeological research.

In assessing the likelihood of buried archaeology within areas in the towns there has been consideration of the potential for archaeology ‘buried’, or hidden, within later buildings and structures, as well as that for below-ground features: in the absence of a systematic detailed survey of the interior of buildings in the old town – and the historic village cores – this potential could be surprisingly significant in the case of Brighton and Hove.

5.3.3 Historic Environment Value (Map 17)

The Historic Environment Value (HEV) of each HUCA is assessed here, and expressed as a value from 1 (low) to 5 (high). Such values are iniquitous to some and always subjective, but here provide a necessary means of consistently and intelligently differentiating (for the purposes of conservation) the upstanding fabric, boundaries and archaeology that form the historic urban environment. The Historic Environment Value (HEV) of each HUCA is based on assessment of:
5.3.4 Vulnerability

The vulnerability of each HUCA is also considered, although many future threats cannot be anticipated. These brief analyses mean that this Statement of Historic Urban Character can be used to focus conservation guidance.

5.3.5 Research questions

Where relevant, reference is made to questions in the Research Framework for Brighton and Hove (below, section 6). This referencing links these key questions to specific HUCAs, helping ensure that any investigation of the historic environment (such as that as a condition of development, under PPG15 or PPG16) is properly focused.

5.3.6 Brighton and Hove’s Historic Urban Character Areas (Maps 15 and 16)

The following assessments of the Historic Urban Character Areas (HUCAs) of Brighton and Hove commence with those that make up the historic core – i.e. the pre-c.1800 town. Inevitably, these assessments are more extensive than those that relate to more recent expansion of the town.

HUCA 1 Bartholomews (HEV 3)

HUCA 1 is in the centre of the medieval and modern town. Its origins lie in the dependent parochial chapel in existence by 1147 and dedicated, by c.1185, to St Bartholomew. This was made redundant at the suppression of chantries (in 1547), and was ruined when sold two years later, thus creating a space in the burgeoning town that has since had a largely public and market function.

Although no evidence of the former chapel survives above ground, today the area retains a significant public function as it is dominated by Brighton Town Hall. There are 23 listed buildings, groups of buildings, or monuments (all Grade II), of which six are Period 10 (18th century), 14 are Period 11 (1800-40), and three are period 12 (1841-80). The unbroken run of listed buildings along both sides of the part of Prince Albert Street that falls within this area is noteworthy as is the almost unbroken run of listed buildings that continues this line across the north side of Bartholomew’s. However, it is the Town Hall, built in 1830-2 (Thomas Cooper) in Greek Revival style that is the dominant historic building.

Historic plots are not evident due to the facts that the plots rarely extend beyond the confusion of buildings along the street frontages; there has been substantial rebuilding along the south and west sides of the area; and most of the area falls within the putative extent of the precinct of the suppressed chapel. That said, the square in which the present Town Hall sits perpetuates to some degree the medieval and post-medieval difference (and openness) of this part of the old town.

The rebuilding of the area since the late 18th century – especially in the case of the large-scale late 20th-century developments at the south and west of the HUCA – means that archaeological potential of this HUCA is moderate, although pockets of pre-1700 archaeology may survive amongst the earlier buildings.

The number of 18th and early 19th-century buildings, the completeness of historic street-fronts (especially Prince Albert Street and the north side of Bartholomews), and the archaeological potential give this HUCA an Historic Environment Value (HEV) of 3.

HUCA 1 has seen significant change in the 20th century – especially through the loss of the Market, and the loss of 18th and 19th-century houses – and earlier lanes – south of the Town Hall). The Historic Environment Value of the area means that vulnerability is medium. Perhaps the greatest threats are to alteration or loss of the unlisted buildings (which include many of 19th-century date and not insignificant historic interest) within the block delimited by East Street, King’s Road and the east side of Bartholomews.

Research questions especially relevant to this HUCA relate to the foundation of the town and the chapel of St Bartholomew (RQ5 and RQ10).

HUCA 2 The Lanes (HEV 3)

HUCA 2 lies on the northern edge of the medieval and pre-resort town. The name The Lanes derives from the narrow passageways
that are a famous feature of this part of Brighton, but their origins appear similar to the narrow east-west lanes that run between the main north-south streets elsewhere in the old town. The passageways may be medieval – or early post-medieval – but the dense building up of this area may be at least in part a feature of the resort period: certainly the area of Brighton Square and northwards was unbuilt in 1779.

Today the area remains dominated by closely packed houses (mostly functioning as shops), predominantly with frontages on narrow passageways (although the HUCA includes the more substantial Brighton place and a short length of the west side of East Street), and much of it provides an interesting contrast with the more grandiose survivals from the early resort period. There are 48 listed buildings, groups of buildings, or monuments (all Grade II), of which 34 are Period 10 (18th century), nine are Period 11 (1800-40), three are Period 12 (1841-80), and two are Period 13 (1881-1913). This includes the Friends’ (i.e. Quaker) Meeting House (c.1805-8); and the former Congregational chapel, Union Street, (later the Elim Tabernacle, now converted to commercial use) of 1825 (Busby and Wilds). Several houses in The Lanes appear early, but, as in the case of the jettied and weather-boarded house at 43 Meeting House Lane a pre-18th-century date has yet to be demonstrated. There are several examples of intact 19th-century shopfronts, with good examples at 31 East Street, 49-50A Meeting House Lane, and 53-5 Ship Street.

Historic plot boundaries partly survive between West Street and Ship Street (especially towards Historic plot boundaries partly survive between House Lane, and 53-5 Ship Street. examples at 31 East Street, 49-50A Meeting Lane a pre-18th-century date has yet to be demonstrated. There are several examples of intact 19th-century shopfronts, with good examples at 31 East Street, 49-50A Meeting House Lane, and 53-5 Ship Street.

The rebuilding of the area during and since the 18th century means that archaeological potential of this HUCA is moderate, although some pockets of pre-1700 archaeology may survive amongst the earliest buildings. The survival of numerous townhouses predominantly of 18th and 19th-century date, together with public buildings, within the framework of probably medieval streets and, even, plots, combine with the archaeological potential to give this HUCA an Historic Environment Value (HEV) of 3.

HUCA 3 has seen substantial change since the Second World War. Most significant has been the redevelopment along the east side of West Street, at Middle Street School, at the Old Ship Hotel and at Duke’s Lane. The most significant threat is to further redevelopment, especially to small-scale unlisted 19th-century buildings: this risk is doubtless increased where buildings are in poor condition and unoccupied (e.g. at the time of writing, in parts of Middle Street). As a result vulnerability is medium.

Research questions especially relevant to this HUCA relate to zoning within the medieval and post-medieval town (RQ9 and RQ14).

HUCA 3 Old Town – west (HEV 3)

HUCA 3 comprises the western part of the medieval and pre-1740 town, and includes the main north-south streets of West Street, Middle Street, Ship Street and Black Lion Street, as far north as Duke Street/Prince Albert Street. As such it appears to have been densely occupied in the medieval period and more so during the fishing boom of the late 16th and early 17th centuries: the building of houses off the narrow lanes, or passageways, between the main streets may date from this period.

Today the area remains dominated by the continuously built-up frontages of streets that are predominantly commercial, with offices and hotels featuring as much as shops, and with some narrow connecting lanes having a more residential character. There are 32 listed buildings, groups of buildings, or monuments (29 Grade II; and three Grade II*), of which one is Period 9 (17th century), eight are Period 10 (18th century), 15 are Period 11 (1800-40), four are Period 12 (1841-80), and four are Period 13 (1881-1913). The concentration of listed buildings on Ship Street reflects the particularly good survival of 18th and 19th-century townhouses on this street. Individually important buildings include the Old Ship Assembly Rooms (Grade II*), of 1767, which preserves interiors of the assembly room and ballroom that are a remarkable record of the season in the early years of the resort. Also of architectural note – and as a reflection on different aspects of the history of the town – are the synagogue (1874-5) and the bingo hall (opened as an ice rink in 1897, converted to the Hippodrome theatre and circus in 1901), both in Middle Street and both Grade II*.

The rebuilding of the area since the late 18th century – especially in the case of the large-scale late 20th-century developments at the south and west of the HUCA – means that
archaeological potential of this HUCA is moderate, although pockets of pre-1700 archaeology may survive amongst the earlier buildings.

The number of 18th and early 19th-century buildings, the completeness of historic street-fronts (especially Prince Albert Street and the north side of Bartholomews), and the archaeological potential give this HUCA an Historic Environment Value (HEV) of 3.

HUCA 1 has seen significant change in the 20th century (principally through the loss of the Market, and the loss of 18th and 19th-century houses – and earlier lanes – south of the Town Hall). The Historic Environment Value of the area means that vulnerability is medium. Perhaps the greatest threats are to alteration or loss of the unlisted buildings (which include many of 19th-century date and not insignificant historic interest) within the block delimited by East Street, King’s Road and the east side of Bartholomews.

Research questions especially relevant to this HUCA relate to the founding of the town, and the origins of the gridded street plan and burgage plots (RQ5, RQ7 and RQ8).

HUCA 4 Church (HEV 4)

HUCA 4 comprises the western part of the medieval and pre-1740 town, and includes the main north-south streets of West Street, Middle Street, Ship Street and Black Lion Street, as far north as Duke Street/Prince Albert Street. As such it appears to have been densely occupied in the medieval period and more so during the fishing boom of the late 16th and early 17th centuries: the building of houses off the narrow lanes, or passageways, between the main streets may date from this period.

Today the area remains dominated by the continuously built-up frontages of streets that are predominantly commercial, with offices and hotels featuring as much as shops, and with some narrow connecting lanes having a more residential character. There are 32 listed buildings, groups of buildings, or monuments (29 Grade II; and three Grade II*), of which one is Period 9 (17th century), eight are Period 10 (18th century), 15 are Period 11 (1800-40), four are Period 12 (1841-80), and four are Period 13 (1881-1913). The concentration of listed buildings on Ship Street reflects the particularly good survival of 18th and 19th-century townhouses on this street. Individually important buildings include the Old Ship Assembly Rooms (Grade II*), of 1767, which preserves interiors of the assembly room and ballroom that are a remarkable record of the season in the early years of the resort. Also of architectural note – and as a reflection on different aspects of the history of the town – are the synagogue (1874-5) and the bingo hall (opened as an ice rink in 1897, converted to the Hippodrome theatre and circus in 1901), both in Middle Street and both Grade II*.

The rebuilding of the area since the late 18th century – especially in the case of the large-scale late 20th-century developments at the south and west of the HUCA – means that archaeological potential of this HUCA is moderate, although pockets of pre-1700 archaeology may survive amongst the earlier buildings.

The number of 18th and early 19th-century buildings, the completeness of historic street-fronts (especially Prince Albert Street and the north side of Bartholomews), and the archaeological potential give this HUCA an Historic Environment Value (HEV) of 3.

HUCA 5 Old Steine (HEV 3)

HUCA 5 lies mainly east of the medieval and early post-medieval old town and comprises the Old Steine and surrounding buildings. The Steine was a useful piece of common land prior to the resort, used for such activities as informal sport and, more regularly, for drying nets. From the beginning of the use of the town as a resort, the Steine was quickly established as the sheltered focus for promenades and fashionable society, although it became eclipsed by the seafront from c.1820.

Today the area remains open, with a central formal garden and surrounding houses giving it the feel of a large square. Although vehicular access was a feature of the Steine in its fashionable heyday (it being the point where the
London and Lewes roads unloaded their visitors from the mid-18th century), this historic function has little in common with the one-way road system that now dominates the HUCA. There are 42 listed buildings, groups of buildings, or monuments (39 Grade II; two Grade II*; and one Grade I), of which 13 are Period 10 (18th century), 22 are Period 11 (1800-1840), four are Period 12 (1841-1880), one is Period 13 (1881-1913), and two are Period 14 (1914-1945). These listed buildings are almost continuous around the perimeter of the Old Steine. Individually important buildings include Robert Adam’s Marlborough House, 54 Old Steine (Grade I); and the late 18th-century townhouses with canted bay windows at 44 Old Steine (Grade II) and 9 Pool Valley (Grade II*).

The rebuilding of the area since the late 18th century – especially in the case of the large-scale late 20th-century developments at the south and west of the HUCA – means that archaeological potential of this HUCA is moderate, although pockets of pre-1700 archaeology may survive amongst the earlier buildings.

The number of 18th and early 19th-century buildings, the completeness of historic street-fronts (especially Prince Albert Street and the north side of Bartholomews), and the archaeological potential give this HUCA an Historic Environment Value (HEV) of 3.

HUCA 1 has seen significant change in the 20th century (principally through the loss of the Market, and the loss of 18th and 19th-century houses – and earlier lanes – south of the Town Hall). The Historic Environment Value of the area means that vulnerability is medium. Perhaps the greatest threats are to alteration or loss of the unlisted buildings (which include many of 19th-century date and not insignificant historic interest) within the block delimited by East Street, King’s Road and the east side of Bartholomews.

Broad, or Brighton and Hove-wide, research questions only apply to this area.
6 HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

6.1 Pre-urban activity
Development pressure and opportunities for developer funding mean that archaeological excavations and standing building analyses in the town, or prior to expansion of the town, are more likely to occur than in the surrounding area. Thus, archaeological investigations in Brighton and Hove should address:

RQ1: What was the nature of the palaeo-environment (ancient environment), and the prehistoric, Roman, and Early Anglo-Saxon human activity in the area?

6.2 Origins

RQ2: What was the location, form and construction detail (e.g. sculpture) of the Anglo-Saxon and Norman church of St Nicholas?
RQ3: What evidence is there for Anglo-Saxon and early Norman secular settlement (and its economy), both at Brighton and in the parishes later absorbed by expansion of the town?
RQ4: What was the road layout, how did this evolve, and how did it relate to east-west Downland and coastal routes, river crossings, a transhumant Downland-Wealden economy, and the fishing-based settlement?

6.3 Medieval town

RQ5: What evidence is there for the founding of the town in the 12th century and for its subsequent development?
RQ6: Is there any evidence for the location and extent of the under-cliff settlement in the medieval period?
RQ7: What evidence is there for the evolution of the gridded street plan during this period?
RQ8: What evidence is there for early burgage plots (and associated narrow lanes or passageways), and when and where did built-up street frontages first occur?
RQ9: What different zones (especially with reference to the northern part of the medieval town) were there during this period, and how did they change?

RQ10: What archaeological evidence is there for the origins of the chapel of St Bartholomew and the extent of its precinct?
RQ11: What was the location and form of the fishing industry and coastal trading place (with particular reference to the function of Pool Valley), and what was the nature of the seaborne trade?
RQ12: What evidence is there for the economy of the town, especially with regard to its Downland hinterland?

6.4 The town 1540-1740

RQ13: How have tenements/burgage plots developed from the first built-up street frontages to the plots that survive today?
RQ14: What different zones (e.g. social differentiation, or types of activity: especially consider the fishing industry) were there during this period, and how did they change?
RQ15: What evidence is there for the development of institutions, such as schools?
RQ16: What documentary and archaeological evidence is there for late 17th-century decline?
RQ17: What evidence of buildings of this period survives later remodelling and re-facing?
RQ18: How and when did the town defences develop?
7 Notes
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